

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

KELLY STONELAKE, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

META Platforms, Inc. a Delaware
Corporation

Defendant.

No. 2:25-cv-00474 BJR

SECOND STIPULATED MOTION AND
ORDER FOR MODIFIED SCHEDULE ON
DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS

SECOND STIPULATED MOTION AND
ORDER FOR MODIFIED SCHEDULE ON
DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS - 1

BRESKIN JOHNSON & TOWNSEND
600 STEWART ST. SUITE 901
SEATTLE, WA 98101

I MOTION

On March 24, 2025, Defendant META filed a motion to dismiss. Dkt. 11. Prior to the motion being filed, the parties had conferred and agreed on a stipulated briefing schedule, which the parties submitted to the Court and the Court entered on April 3. Dkt. 13.

The Court's April 3 Order (dkt. 13) contained the following schedule:

Deadline	Standard Date	Modified Date
Response Date	April 14, 2025	April 28, 2025
Reply Date	April 28, 2025	May 12, 2025

On April 28, Plaintiff filed her Response (dkt. 14). On May 1, defense counsel brought to Plaintiff's counsel's attention that the Response, while compliant with the word limitations and requirements of LCR 7, exceeded the page limits of the Court's standing order (dkt. 7).¹ The parties conferred and agreed, as a matter of professional courtesy to both sides, that Defendant would not oppose Plaintiff filing an amended Response that comports with this Court's page limits, as long as Defendant is given a sufficient opportunity to review and file a Reply to the Amended Response.

The parties hereby submit this stipulated motion requesting that the Court modify the briefing schedule slightly as set forth in Dkt. 11 on Meta's pending Motion to Dismiss. Neither party is prejudiced by this modification, and it is the product of good faith conferral by the

¹ This was in error and not in any way an intentional disregard by Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel of the Court's standing order.

parties to aid in the Court's fair and efficient resolution of Defendant's motion. There is good cause to grant this limited modification of the briefing schedule as follows:

Deadline	Standard Date	Modified Date
Amended Response Date	April 28, 2025	May 2, 2025
Reply Date	May 12, 2025	May 16, 2025

DATED: May 2, 2025.

BRESKIN JOHNSON & TOWNSEND, PLLC

By: s/ Brendan W. Donckers
Brendan W. Donckers, WSBA #39406

By: /s Cynthia Heidelberg
Cynthia Heidelberg, WSBA 44121
600 Stewart St., Suite 901
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 652-8660 Telephone
(206) 652-8290 Facsimile
bdonckers@bjtlegal.com
cheidelberg@bjtlegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

AND

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

By: Lauren Parris Watts
Lauren Parris Watts, WSBA No. 44064
999 Third Avenue, Ste. 4700
Seattle, WA 98104
P: (206) 946-4910
lpwatts@seyfarth.com

Attorney for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.

1
2
3 **II ORDER**

4 BEFORE THE COURT is the parties' second stipulated motion for a modified briefing
5 schedule on Meta's pending Motion to Dismiss.

6 The Court hereby rules as follows: the Second Stipulated Motion for a Modified
7 Schedule on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED. The modified briefing schedule is
8 as follows:

9

Deadline	Standard Date	Modified Date
Amended Response Date	April 28, 2025	May 2, 2025
Reply Date	May 12, 2025	May 16, 2025

10
11
12

13 IT IS SO ORDERED this 5th day of May, 2025.

14
15 

16 The Honorable Judge Barbara J. Rothstein

17 Presented by:

18
19 BRESKIN JOHNSON & TOWNSEND, PLLC

20 By: s/ Brendan W. Donckers

Brendan W. Donckers, WSBA #39406

21 By: /s Cynthia Heidelberg

Cynthia Heidelberg, WSBA 44121

600 Stewart St., Suite 901

Seattle, WA 98101

22
23 SECOND STIPULATED MOTION AND
ORDER FOR MODIFIED SCHEDULE ON
24 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS - 4

BRESKIN JOHNSON & TOWNSEND
600 STEWART ST. SUITE 901
SEATTLE, WA 98101

(206) 652-8660 Telephone
(206) 652-8290 Facsimile
cheidelberg@bjtlegal.com
bdoncker@bjtlegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

AND

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

By: Lauren Parris Watts

Lauren Parris Watts, WSBA No. 44064
999 Third Avenue, Ste. 4700
Seattle, WA 98104
P: (206) 946-4910

Attorneys for Defendant Meta Platforms