Page - 1

obligations would result in the dismissal of his case against the State. *See* Dkt. #131. He made several subsequent filings, but did not ever comply with his discovery obligations or comply with the court's order. As a result, the state's Motion to dismiss was granted, and the Plaintiff's claims against it were dismissed. *See* Dkt. # 147.

The County's Motion to Dismiss is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. The County's Motion to Compel is GRANTED. Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to make his initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), and respond to discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33, **not later than May 29, 2009.**

Plaintiff is again **WARNED** that if he does not make a good faith effort to substantially comply with his obligations to make initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), and to respond to discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 by this date, his claims against the County will be DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 8th of May, 2009.

RONALD B. LEIGHTON ^t
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE