

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
12 AT TACOMA

13 ROBERT SMITH,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16
17 CORRECTIONAL OFFICER KOLA *et al.*,

18 Defendants.
19

Case No. C07-5231FDB

ORDER TO AMEND THE
COMPLAINT

20 This civil rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to
21 Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Plaintiff has been given leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The
22 proposed complaint has a number of defects that must be corrected.

23 Plaintiff's proposed complaint identifies two or three defendants (Dkt. #). In the caption
24 plaintiff names Correctional Officer Kola, The Stafford Creek Corrections Center, and the Attorney
25 General of the State of Washington, Rob M. McKenna (Dkt. # 1). In the body of the complaint
26 plaintiff names Officer Kola and Rob McKenna, but not the Stafford Creek Corrections Center (Dkt.
27

28 ORDER PAGE 1

1 # 1, page 2 and 3). Plaintiff needs to clarify who the defendants are.

2 The complaint suffers from other defects. Plaintiff alleges officer Kola “violated state law of
3 aggrvate[sic] assault and battery, alleges an unlawful offer attempt to injure another with
4 apperent[sic] present ability to effectuate the attempt under circumstances creating fear of imminent
5 peril.” (Dkt. # 1, page 3 Section IV.).

6 In order to state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a complaint must allege that (1)
7 the conduct complained of was committed by a person acting under color of state law and that (2)
8 the conduct deprived a person of a right, privilege, or immunity secured by the Constitution or laws
9 of the United States. Parratt v. Taylor, 451 U.S. 527, 535 (1981), *overruled on other grounds*,
10 Daniels v. Williams, 474 U.S. 327 (1986). Section 1983 is the appropriate avenue to remedy an
11 alleged wrong only if both of these elements are present. Haygood v. Younger, 769 F.2d 1350, 1354
12 (9th Cir. 1985), cert. denied, 478 U.S. 1020 (1986).

13 In addition, plaintiff must allege facts showing how individually named defendants caused or
14 personally participated in causing the harm alleged in the complaint. Arnold v. IBM, 637 F.2d 1350,
15 1355 (9th Cir. 1981). A defendant cannot be held liable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 solely on the basis
16 of supervisory responsibility or position. Monell v. New York City Dept. of Social Services, 436
17 U.S. 658, 694 n.58 (1978). A theory of *respondeat superior* is not sufficient to state a § 1983 claim.
18 Padway v. Palches, 665 F.2d 965 (9th Cir. 1982).

19 The amended complaint needs to contain short, concise factual allegations, not conclusions
20 and accusations. The factual allegations must contain enough information for the court and the
21 defendants to identify what specific conduct or event is at issue and who the defendants are relative
22 to that conduct or event.

23 Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to file an amended complaint. The amended complaint will act as a
24 complete substitute for the original and must be received on or before **June, 8, 2007** or the court will
25 enter a Report and Recommendation that this action be dismissed.

26 Plaintiff is reminded he must submit a copy of the complaint and a filled out Marshal’s service
27 form for each defendant if he expects the court to order the United States Marshals Service to

28 ORDER PAGE 2

1 attempt service by mail.

2 The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff, **and note the due date**
3 **for the amended complaint as June 8, 2007.**

4
5 DATED this 14 day of May, 2007.

6
7 /S/ J. Kelley Arnold
8 J. Kelley Arnold
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27