

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT TACOMA

11 DANNY H. BRANDT,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 JOSEPH LEHMAN AND ALICE PAYNE,

15 Defendants.

Case No. C07-5308FDB

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF
TO SUBMIT AN AMENDED
COMPLAINT OR SHOW CAUSE
WHY MATTER SHOULD NOT
BE SUMMARILY DISMISSED

16 The Court, having reviewed plaintiff's complaint and the balance of the record contained herein, does
17 hereby find and ORDER.

18 (1) A complaint is frivolous when it has no arguable basis in law or fact Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d
19 1221, 1228 (9th Cir. 1984). When a complaint is frivolous, fails to state a claim, or contains a complete
20 defense to the action on its face, the court may dismiss an *in forma pauperis* complaint before service of
21 process under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d). Noll v. Carlson, 809 F.2d 1446, 575 (9th Cir. 1987) (*citing Franklin v.*
22 Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir. 1984)).

23 (2) Plaintiff's complaint appears to call into question the validity of his conviction and/or sentence.
24 More specifically, Plaintiff is seeking damages from the defendants for not releasing him on a certain date.

25 In June 1994, the United States Supreme Court held that "[e]ven a prisoner who has fully
26 exhausted available state remedies has no cause of action under § 1983 unless and until the conviction or
27 sentence is reversed, expunged, invalidated, or impugned by the grant of a writ of habeas corpus." Heck
28 v. Humphrey, 114 S.Ct. 2364, 2373 (1994). The court added:

1 Under our analysis the statute of limitations poses no difficulty while the state challenges are
2 being pursued, since the § 1983 claim has not yet arisen. . . . [A] §1983 cause of action for
3 damages attributable to an unconstitutional conviction or sentence does not accrue until the
4 conviction or sentence has been invalidated.

5 Id. at 2374.

6 Plaintiff claims call into question the correct date of his release. Significantly, Plaintiff has not
7 shown that he has previously successfully challenged the release date way of petition for writ of habeas
8 corpus or similar challenges. Thus, it appears a claim for monetary damages is premature.

9 (3) Due to the deficiencies described above, the court will not serve the complaint. Plaintiff shall
10 file an amended complaint, curing, if possible, the above noted deficiencies, or show cause why this matter
11 should not be dismissed **by no later than July 27, 2007**. If an amended complaint is not timely filed or if
12 plaintiff fails to adequately address these issues, the Court will recommend dismissal of this action as frivo-
13 lous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, and such dismissal will count as a “strike” under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

14 (4) The Clerk is directed to send plaintiff a copy of this Order and the General Order.

15 DATED this 2 day of July, 2007.

16 /S/ J. Kelley Arnold
17 J. Kelley Arnold
18 United States Magistrate Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28