

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

5 LUIS ANTHONY EWING; KATHERINE
6 WEST,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL; KENNETH
10 M. EDSTROM, M.D., FACOG, SUSAN
11 WEBBER, MSSW, ACSW; STATE OF
12 WASHINGTON DEPT. OF CHILDREN AND
13 FAMILY SERVICES; DSHS CPS SOCIAL
14 WORKER AMANDA JOHNSON; CITY OF
PUYALLUP POLICE DEPARTMENT; PIIC
FACILITY; and JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-
100, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS HUSBAND'S
AND WIFE'S [sic] AND/OR ALL MARITAL
OR COMMUNITY PROPERTY,

15 Defendants.

NO. C07 5709 FDB

ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFFS'
AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY RELIEF,
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND MONEY
DAMAGES

16
17 This matter comes before the Court upon the motion of Defendant Good Samaritan
18 Hospital to strike Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint for Declaratory Relief, Injunctive Relief, and
19 Money Damages. The Plaintiffs have responded with a request for an extension of time. The
20 Court, having considered the motion(s) and the record herein, is fully informed and hereby
21 grants the Defendant's requested relief.

22 On January 26, 2009, this Court entered an order requiring *in forma pauperis* Plaintiffs
23 to file no later than February 23, 2009, an amended complaint, to include the following details:
24 (a) the identity of Miles Tejano Jr., Phoenix Rising Ewing, and Baby Boy West and the basis
25 for their claims for relief, if any; (b) the identity of "Baby Boy West" by birth month and year
or other information sufficient to identify this person; (c) for each stated cause of action or
claim for relief, the specific date or dates of the alleged wrongful conduct; (d) for each stated

ORDER 1

1 cause of action or claim for relief, the location of the alleged wrongful conduct; and (e) for
2 each stated cause of action or claim for relief, the name, description, or identity of the person or
3 agent who Plaintiffs contend committed the wrongful act. Plaintiffs have failed to comply with
4 this directive. It is true that pleadings of pro se litigants are held to less rigid standards than
5 those drafted by attorneys. Nevertheless, even pro se pleadings “must meet some minimum
6 threshold in providing a defendant with notice of what it is allegedly did wrong.” *Brazil v.*
7 *United States Department of Navy*, 66 F.3d 193, 199 (9th Cir. 1995).

8 ACCORDINGLY;

9 IT IS ORDERED

10 (1) Defendant Good Samaritan Hospital’s Motion to Strike Plaintiffs’ Amended
11 Complaint for Declaratory Relief, Injunctive Relief, and Money Damages
12 [Dkt. # 19] is **GRANTED**.

13 (2) All of Plaintiffs’ claims against these Defendants, as set forth in the
14 Amended Complaint for Declaratory Relief, Injunctive Relief, and Money
15 Damages, are hereby stricken.

16 (3) Plaintiffs’ motion for an extension of time [Dkt. # 20] is stricken as moot.

17 (4) Plaintiffs shall file no later than March 13, 2009, an amended complaint
18 that complies with this Court’s January 26, 2009 Order Granting Defendant
19 Good Samaritan Hospital’s Motion for a More Definite Statement. If
20 Plaintiffs do not comply with the Court’s Order, Plaintiffs’ claims against all
21 Defendants will be subject to dismissal, effective March 20, 2009.

22 DATED this 27th day of February, 2009.

23
24 

25 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE