Curtis v. Benda, et al. Doc. 266

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 4 AT TACOMA 5 JAMES EDWARD CURTIS, 6 CASE NO. C08-5109 BHS Plaintiff, 7 **ORDER** v. 8 TERRY J. BENDA, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 11 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff James Edward Curtis's ("Curtis") 12 motion for extension of time to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's report and 13 recommendations ("R&R") (Dkt. 261), motion for leave to file over-length objections 14 (Dkt. 262), and motion to stay all proceedings (Dkt. 265). 15 On August 29, 2012, the Court granted Curtis's motion for an extension of time to 16 file objections to the report and recommendation. Dkt. 256. Curtis then requested a stay 17 of all proceedings because he was being transferred and was unsure whether his legal 18 material will be transferred as well. Dkt. 257. The Court concluded that Curtis failed to 19 show good cause for a complete stay of this proceeding (Dkt. 260) and concludes that he 20 again fails to do so in his current motion (Dkt. 265). Accordingly, his motion to stay all 21 proceedings (Dkt. 265) is **DENIED**. 22

1 In addition, Curtis seeks an additional extension of time of 60 days to file objections to the R&R. The Court concludes that the motion for extension of time is 3 **GRANTED** to the extent that Curtis will be afforded an additional **30 days**, to which Defendants are not opposed, to file his objections to the R&R. 4 5 Finally, Curtis seeks leave of the Court to file overlength objections to the R&R on his motion for 56(d) continuance. The Court **RESERVES RULING** on the motion 6 for leave in that the Court will decide what portion of the almost 300 pages of material is 7 8 relevant to the Court's ruling on the R&R. 9 Accordingly, the R&R (Dkt. 249) and Curtis's motion to file overlength objections 10 (Dkt. 262) are **RENOTED** to **November 5, 2012**. 11 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 Dated this 15th day of October, 2012 13 14 15 United States District Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22