Defendants Timothy and Deanna Palmer. The Court, having reviewed the motion, Defendants' pleadings

the real property at issue) have answered the factual allegations contained in the complaint. Instead, the Palmers have filed two petitions for stay, a request for an order to show cause why the record should not be corrected, and a request to hold counsel for the United States in contempt. Taken together, these pleadings (and the counterclaim) assert an argument that the Palmers are entitled to \$4 million from the

ORDER - 1

24

25

26

27

28

United States Treasury and that the Court should allow correction of the record to reflect this "reality" and hold that the defendants may satisfy their tax debts with a promissory note drawn on these funds held by the U.S. Treasury.

This Court has rejected the Palmers' prior requests for relief, finding this tax protester theory commonly known as "redemption" nonsensical and soundly rejected in this and all other jurisdictions. See Bryant v. Wash. Mut. Bank, 524 F. Supp. 2d 753 (W.D. Va. 2007); <u>United States v.Saldana</u>, 427 F.3d 298 (5th Cir. 2005); <u>United States v.Waalee</u>, 133 Fed. Appx. 819 (3rd Cir. 2005); <u>United States v. Allison</u>, 264 Fed. Appx. 450 (5th Cir. 2008); <u>United States v.Clapier</u>, 40 Fed. Appx. 455, 457 (9th Cir. 2002).

Counterclaim Fails to State a Claim

The Palmers' counterclaim is based upon a legal recognition of the "redemption" theory and accordingly fails to state factual allegations that would support a cause of action. A counterclaim is subject to dismissal for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. The Palmers' factual allegations do not support a recognized legal claim upon which relief could be based. Accordingly, the Palmers' counterclaim is subject to dismissal.

Conclusion

For the above stated reasons the United States is entitled to dismissal of Defendants' counterclaim. ACCORDINGLY;

IT IS ORDERED:

The United States' Motion to Dismiss Defendants Timothy and Deanna Palmer's Counterclaim [Dkt. # 48] is **GRANTED**. Defendants' counterclaim [Dkt # 36] is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

DATED this 22nd day of January, 2009

FRANKLIN D. BURGESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE