1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

6 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

ERIC LAWRENCE WRIGHT, SR.,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

Case No. C08-5388RBL

CITY OF TACOMA, et al.,

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 v.

Defendant.

THIS MATTER comes on before the above-entitled Court upon Plaintiff's Objection to the Report and Recommendation [Dkt. #46]. Having considered the entirety of the records and file herein, the Court finds and rules as follows:

The Court will accept plaintiff's assertions that the confusion over his mailing address was due to no fault of his own. However, plaintiff has not adequately responded to the Magistrate Judge's previous Orders directing plaintiff to explain why his claims are not barred by *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994). [Dkt. #'s 18 and 29]. Heck requires that in order for a plaintiff to pursue an action under §1983 challenging his prior conviction, he must show that "the conviction or sentence [has been] reversed, expunged, invalidated, or impugned by the grant of a writ of habeas corpus." *Id.*, at 489.

Therefore, plaintiff shall show cause in writing on or before April 3, 2009 why his cause of action is not barred by Heck v. Humphrey. THE FAILURE TO SO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN THE DISMISSAL OF THIS CASE.

ORDER Page - 1

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk shall send uncertified copies of this order to all counsel of record, and to any party appearing pro se.

Dated this 12th day of March, 2009.

RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER Page - 2