THE HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 1 2 1211 1215) ISHI 3516) SHIB SHIB SHIB 11516 SHI 1251 FILED LODGED RECEIVED 3 4 APR -2 2010 08-CV-05626-ORD WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA DEPUTY 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA SU SHIN, CASE No. C08-05626-RBL 10 Plaintiff. 11 12 ESURANCE INSURANCE COMPANY, a 13 Wisconsin corporation; ESURANCE 14 PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation, ESURANCE INC., a 15 Delaware corporation; and ESURANCE INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., a 16 Delaware corporation, 17 Defendants. 18 19 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE 20 This matter came before the Court for hearing on January 15, 2010. The Court having 21 considered the Settlement Agreement, comments received regarding the settlement, the record 22 in the above captioned action (the "Action"), the evidence presented and the arguments and 23 authorities presented by counsel, and for good cause appearing, 24 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 25 The Court, for purposes of this Final Approval Order and Judgment Approving 1. 26 Settlement and Dismissing Claims of Class Members with Prejudice ("Final Approval Order [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DLA Piper LLP (US) APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7000 CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH Seattle, WA 98104-7044 • Tel: 206.839.4800 PREJUDICE C08-05626-RBL - 1

and Judgment") adopts the capitalized terms and their definitions set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, the Named Plaintiff, the Class Members and Esurance.

- 2. The Court finds that the notice to the Class of the pendency of the Action and of this Settlement, via mailed notice as provided by the Settlement Agreement and by this Court's Preliminary Approval Order, dated October 2, 2009, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances to all Persons within the definition of the Settlement Class, and fully complied with the requirements of due process and of all applicable statutes and laws.
- 3. The Court finds that the terms contained within the Settlement Agreement are in all respects fair, reasonable, adequate, just, and in compliance with all applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Washington law and the Washington and United States Constitutions (including the Due Process Clause), and all other applicable laws, and are in the best interests of the parties and the Class. Accordingly, the Court directs the parties and their counsel to implement and consummate the settlement in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. The Court finds that Esurance has served notifications on the appropriate federal and state officials, in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1715.
- 5. Pursuant to Rule 23, the Settlement Class as finally certified shall consist of: each Person who, during the period from October 15, 2002, to the date of the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, meets the following criteria:
 - (a) was insured under a personal lines automobile insurance policy providing uninsured motorist property damage coverage or underinsured motorist property damage coverage issued by Esurance;
 - (b) was involved in an accident with an underinsured, uninsured, or hit and run motorist and reported this type of claim between October 15, 2002 and the date of the Preliminary Approval Order;
 - (c) for whom the sum of claim payments made by Esurance under any property damage coverage for vehicle repairs exceeded \$1000;

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE C08-05626-RBL - 2

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE C08-05626-RBL - 3

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE C08-05626-RBL - 5

11. All persons who are included within the definition of the Settlement Class and who did not properly file requests for exclusion are therefore bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment and by the Settlement Agreement and are conclusively deemed to have fully, finally and forever settled and released all Released Claims, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, against the Released Persons.

ATTORNEYS' FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, INCENTIVE AWARD

- 12. Esurance shall, within ten (10) business days after the Effective Date, subject to receipt by Esurance of a Form W-9 completed and signed by the payee, deliver to Class Counsel, at the address set forth below, a check payable to Reich and Binstock, LLP Trust Account, delivered to Debra Brewer Hayes, Reich and Binstock, LLP, 4265 San Felipe, Ste. 1000, Houston, Texas 77027, unless other delivery instructions are provided to Esurance's counsel in writing by Debra Brewer Hayes, in the amount of \$198,120.00 as attorneys' fees and costs.
- 13. The Court approves the incentive award to Class Representative Karen Seger in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00), to be paid by Esurance to the Class Representative within ten (10) business days after the Effective Date, subject to receipt by Esurance of a Form W-9 completed and signed by the payee.
- 14. The Court approves the incentive award to Class Representative Su Shin in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00), to be paid by Esurance to the Class Representative within ten (10) business days after the Effective Date, subject to receipt by Esurance of a Form W-9 completed and signed by the payee.

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE C08-05626-RBL - 6

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

11 12

13

14

16 17

15

18

20

19

2122

2324

2526

15. The Effective Date of the Settlement shall be thirty (30) days after the date of this Final Approval Order and Judgment or the date determined pursuant to paragraph 63 of the Settlement Agreement, whichever is later.

- 16. The provisions of this Final Approval Order and Judgment are entered as a result of an agreement and stipulation of the Parties. The Parties' Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment are not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, any admission, express or implied, of any fault, liability or wrongdoing by Esurance.
- 17. With respect to Persons who have requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, or any other Person seeking to litigate with Esurance over any of the Released Claims or to represent any form of opt-out class from this Settlement, Class Counsel has stipulated, and the Court agrees, that any representation, encouragement, solicitation or other assistance, including but not limited to, referral to other counsel, of or to any Person having requested exclusion from the Settlement Class or any other Person seeking to litigate with Esurance over any of the Released Claims or to represent any form of opt-out class from this Settlement, could place Class Counsel in an untenable conflict of interest with the Settlement Class. Accordingly, Class Counsel and their respective firms are henceforth prohibited (only to the extent that it is otherwise not violative of any applicable rules of professional conduct) from representing, encouraging, soliciting or otherwise assisting, in any way whatsoever, including, but not limited to referrals to other counsel, any Person in requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class, except that suggesting to any such Person the option of obtaining separate counsel, without specifically identifying options for such counsel, shall be permitted. Additionally, Class Counsel and their respective firms are henceforth prohibited (only to the extent that it is otherwise not violative of any applicable rules of professional conduct) from representing, encouraging, soliciting or otherwise assisting, in any way whatsoever, any Person who has requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, or who seeks to represent any form of

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE C08-05626-RBL - 7

any violation of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. All of the claims in this Action shall be and hereby are DISMISSED 20. with prejudice and without leave to amend.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this and day of April, 2010.

The Honorable Ronald B. Leighton United States District Judge

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

8

11

25

26

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE C08-05626-RBL - 8

1	
2	Presented by:
3	/a/ Dahar P. Hanna /hanna /hanna /hanna
4	/s/ Debra B. Hayes (by email permission) Debra B. Hayes REICH & BINSTOCK
5	4265 San Felipe, Suite 1000
6	Houston, TX 77027
7	David A. Futscher PARRY DEERING FUTSCHER & SPARKS
8	P.O. Box 2618
9	Covington, KY 41012-2618
10	Van Bunch
1	Elaine A. Ryan Patricia N. Syverson
12	BONNETT FAIRBOURN FRIEDMAN & BALINT 2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1000
13	Phoenix, AZ 85012
	Stephen M. Hansen
14	LOWENBERG, LOPEZ & HANSEN, PS 950 Pacific Avenue, Suite 450
15	Tacoma, WA 98402
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17	
18	/s/ Stellman Keehnel
19	Stellman Keehnel DLA Piper LLP (US)
20	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7000 Seattle, WA 98104
21	
22	Attorneys for Defendants
23	
24	
25	
26	
	<u> </u>

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS OF CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE C08-05626-RBL - 9