ORDER - 1

Doc. 21

for More Definite Statement. (Dkt. 14). On February 05, 2009, Plaintiff filed an amended 1 2 complaint that is substantially similar to the his original complaint. Dkt. 20. The Court may appoint counsel under 42 U.S.C. § 1915(d) only under "exceptional 3 circumstances." Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (1990). "A finding of exceptional 5 circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues 7 involved. Neither of these factors is dispositive and both must be viewed together before reaching 8 a decision." *Id.* (internal citations omitted). 9 Plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel should be denied. At this stage in the litigation, there has not been a sufficient development of the facts to establish whether Plaintiff 10 11 will likely succeed on the merits. However, based on Plaintiff's pleadings, he appears to be having difficulty adequately articulating his claims in light of the legal issues involved. 12 13 Nevertheless, when the two factors are viewed together, the Plaintiff's situation does not rise to 14 the "exceptional circumstances" standard. 15 Therefore, it is hereby, ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Request for Appointment of 16 Counsel (Dkt. 16) is DENIED. 17 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing *pro se* at said party's last known address. 18 DATED this 17th day of February, 2009. 19 20 21 22 United States District Judge 23 24 25 26

ORDER - 2

27

28