Schafer v. Horne et al Doc. 8

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 10 AT TACOMA 11 STEVEN LEE SCHAFER. 12 CASE NO. C09-5247RBL/JRC Plaintiff, 13 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL v. 14 GERALD HORNE AND TERRY LANE IN 15 THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES, 16 Defendants. 17 This case has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 18 19 636(b) (1) (A) and 636(b) (1) (B) and Local Magistrate Judge's Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 20 4. The matter is before the court on plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery (Dkt. # 5). Plaintiff 21 does not provide the court with a copy of any discovery request he has made. Nor does he set 22 forth his discovery requests in a submission to the court as required by Local Rule 37 (b) (ii). 23 Instead he merely states he has requested "documents" three times and has not received them 24 (Dkt. # 5). 25 This case is an attempt by plaintiff to stay or prevent an ongoing state criminal 26 prosecution. A Report and Recommendation to dismiss the action prior to service is pending

(Dkt. # 5). Plaintiff has submitted three separate proposed orders with his Motion to Compel (Dkt. # 5). Each of the proposed orders asks the court to stay a current state criminal prosecution. None of the proposed orders set forth any information regarding a discovery dispute.

The Motion to Compel does not comport with the pleading requirements of this court. Further, the motion deals with discovery in a state criminal case and is not properly before this court. The motion is DENIED.

DATED this 3rd day of June, 2009.

J. Richard Creatura

United States Magistrate Judge