Morris v. McKenna Doc. 26

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 TEDDY MORRIS, Case No. C09-5345RBL 11 Petitioner, 12 **ORDER** v. 13 ROB McKINNA, et. al., 14 Respondents. 15 16 THIS MATTER comes on before the above-entitled Court upon Petitioner's Notice of Appeal 17 [Dkt. #22] and Motion for Appointment of Appellate Counsel [Dkt. #23]. Having considered the entirety 18 of the records and file herein, the Court finds and rules as follows: 19 The filing of a Notice of Appeal in a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 proceeding requires this Court to determine 20 whether a certificate of appealability shall issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1). For the reasons stated in the 21 Report and Recommendation [Dkt. #18], this Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability because 22 the Petitioner has failed to make "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. 23 § 2253(c)(2). The Clerk shall forward Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Appellate Counsel [Dkt. 24 #23] to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 27 28

ORDER Page - 1

The Clerk shall send uncertified copies of this order to all counsel of record, and to any party appearing pro se.

Dated this 5th day of January, 2010.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE