Helm v. Hughes et al Doc. 36

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 5 6 GEORGE-JASON A. HELM, NO. C09-5381 RJB/KLS 7 Plaintiff, ORDER DIRECTING 8 v. SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING 9 MICHAEL HUGHES, JANET GAINES, and SEAN MURPHY, 10 Defendants. 11 Presently before the court is the Motion for Summary Judgment of Defendants 12 Michael Hughes, Karen Gaines, and Sean Murphy. ECF No. 30. Having reviewed the 13 motion, Plaintiff's response (ECF No. 33), Defendants' reply (ECF No. 32), and Plaintiff's 14 second response (ECF No. 33), the court finds that requires additional briefing from the 15 parties before a recommendation on the summary judgment may be made. 16 Defendants are directed to supplement their motion to address the issue of what 17 legitimate penological interests are furthered by a regulation prohibiting threats in a written 18 grievance. Plaintiff shall be given an opportunity to respond. 19 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 20 (1) Defendants shall file their supplemental brief and/or evidence in support of 21 their motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 30) to address only the issue identified herein 22 on or before November 22, 2010. Plaintiff may file a response on or before December 13, 23 **2010**. Defendants may file a reply **on or before December 17, 2010**. 24 (2) The Clerk shall **strike** the noting date of Defendants' motion for summary 25 judgment (ECF No. 30) and shall **re-note** the motion for **December 17, 2010.** 26

1	(3) The Clerk of the Court is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and
2	counsel for Defendants.
3	
4	DATED this 4th day of November, 2010.
5	
6	Karen L. Strombom
7	United States Magistrate Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
_0	