

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT TACOMA

9 MARK SUPANICH, a single man  
10 individually and as guardian for S.S., a minor  
11 child,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 vs.

13 KEVIN RUNDLE and JANE DOE  
14 RUNDLE, and their marital community;  
15 SANDY PEDIGO, a single woman;  
16 KATHRYN NELSON and JOHN DOE  
17 NELSON, and their marital community;  
18 JULIA KAY and JOHN DOE KAY and their  
19 marital community; DOES 1-100, unknown  
individuals,

18 Defendants.

CASE NO. C10-5008RBL

ORDER

20 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's "Motion for a New Trial" under Fed.  
21 R. Civ. P. 59. [Dkt. #67]. The Motion was filed November 22, 2010, and in fact seeks  
22 Reconsideration of the Court's Order Granting the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment,  
23 dated October 26, 2010 [Dkt. #66].

24 Under Local Rule 7, Motions for Reconsideration are to be filed within ten judicial days  
25 of the Order at issue. The Motion is therefore untimely. Additionally, Motions for  
26 Reconsideration are disfavored, and will ordinarily be denied absent a showing of manifest

1 error, or a new factual or legal basis which could not have been raised earlier. Local Rule 7(h).  
2 This standard has not been met in this case, and the Court will not reconsider its prior ruling  
3 [Dkt. #66].

4 It is ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for a New Trial [Dkt. #67] is DENIED.

5 Dated 1<sup>st</sup> day of December, 2010.

6   
7 RONALD B. LEIGHTON  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE