Snyder v. St	ate of Washington et al	44
1 2 3 4 5 6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
7 8	BRIAN N. SNYDER, ) Cause No. C10-5286RSL	
9	Plaintiff, ) v.	
10	ORDER GRANTING STATE STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,  DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR	
11	Defendants.  SUMMARY JUDGMENT  Defendants.	
12 13		
13	This matter comes before the Court on "Defendants' Motion for Summary	
	Judgment." Dkt. # 27. Plaintiff has not opposed defendants' request for judgment, and the	
16	Court considers such a failure to be "an admission that the motion has merit." Local Civil Rule	
17	7(b)(2). The motion of defendants State of Washington, Department of Natural Resources, Peter	
18	Goldmark, Melissa Montgomery, Washington State Attorney General's Office, Joseph Panesko,	
19	Terence A. Pruit, Robert M. McKenna, Russell W. Hartman, Robert C. Krabill, and Craddock D.	
20	Verser is therefore GRANTED. Plaintiff's claims against the State defendants are hereby	
21	DISMISSED with prejudice.	
22	DISTRIBUTE WITH Projudice.	
23	Dated this 5th day of October, 2010.	
24	MMS Casnik	
25	Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge	
26	Since States District vauge	
20	ORDER GRANTING STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	