Holmberg v. Van Boening et al

Doc. 21

provide current employment or residential addresses so that Plaintiff can comply with the court's Order. ECF No. 19, p. 12.

The foregoing suggests that Plaintiff is diligently seeking further information to complete service on the non-served defendants. If Defendants are in possession of the last known business or last known home addresses of the non-served defendants, a sensible solution is that Defendants submit such information to the court **under seal** so that the Clerk may attempt to effect service. This solution alleviates two concerns concerning involving prisoner litigation: (1) the security risks inherent in providing prisoners with addresses of people formerly employed by the state; and (2) the reality of prisoners getting the "runaround" when they are attempting to access information through the government. *Sellers v. United States*, 902 F.2d 598, 603 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1990).

## Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

- (1) Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 19) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff shall provide service information for Mark Keller and Debra Lavagnino by **April 15, 2011.**
- (2) If Defendants are in possession of the last known business or last known home addresses of Mark Keller and Debra Lavagnino, they shall submit such addresses to the Court under seal on or before April 15, 2011.

**DATED** this 17th day of March, 2011.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge