

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT TACOMA

6 DEREK E. GRONQUIST,

7 Plaintiff,

No. C10-5374 RBL/KLS

8 v.

ORDER REGARDING SUBMISSION OF
DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS

9 FAYE NICHOLAS, HEATHER ANNIS,
10 CC3 PAUL PEMBERTON, STEVE
11 BLAKEMAN, KAREN BRUNSON,
12 HAROLD CLARKE, STATE RAMSEY,
13 RICHARD MORGAN, STEVE
TOOHEY, JOHN DOES I, II AND III
CLALLAM BAY CORRECTIONS
CENTER OFFICERS, DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS, and STATE OF
WASHINGTON,

14 Defendants.

15 Before the Court is Plaintiff's letter dated May 19, 2011. ECF No. 57. Included with
16 Plaintiff's letter are eleven deposition transcripts marked "Original." Plaintiff asks that the
17 deposition transcripts be filed with the Court as he will be relying on them for his "Motion to
18 Compel Discovery, Motion to Impose Discovery Sanctions, Cross Motion for Summary
19 Judgment, and Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment." *Id.*

20
21 Discovery is not filed in civil cases, unless it is attached to a motion. Although Plaintiff
22 indicates that he anticipates filing various motions, none have been filed to date. At the time
23 Plaintiff files a discovery motion, he may attach the discovery that is in dispute. *See e.g.*, CR
24 37(a)(1)(B)(ii) (each disputed discovery request and the opposing party's objection/response
25 thereto shall be set forth in the submission). *See also*, CR 32 (Using Depositions in Court
26 Proceedings).

ORDER - 1

