

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

ARTHUR WEST,  
Plaintiff,

Case No. C10-5381RBL

v.

ORDER

NORTHERN SPOTTED OWL POLICY  
WORK GROUP,  
Defendants.

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion to Reconsider Order Dismissing Parties.  
[Dkt. #23].


Under Local Rule 7, motions for reconsideration are disfavored. The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Local Rule 7(h).

Plaintiff did not timely respond to the prior Order, and has not adequately explained that failure. Plaintiff’s Motion to Reconsider [Dkt. # 23] the Court’s prior Order of Dismissal [Dkt. #20] is DENIED.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.



RONALD B. LEIGHTON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE