

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

WILLIAM DAVENPORT,

Plaintiff,

v.

KELLY CUNNINGHAM, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C10-5583BHS

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT  
AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of the Honorable Karen L. Strombom, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 52) and Plaintiff William Davenport’s (“Davenport”) response to the R&R.

On July 8, 2011, Defendants Kelly Cunningham, Cathy Harris, Henry Richards, Willie Stodard, and Darold Weeks filed a motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 39. On October 24, 2011, Judge Strombom issued the R&R recommending that the Court grant the motion for summary judgment, dismiss Davenport’s remaining federal claims for lack of jurisdiction, and dismiss Davenport’s remaining state claims without prejudice. Dkt. 52. On November 14, 2011, Davenport responded. Dkt. 53.

The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). Davenport’s response is a statement of his displeasure with his conditions of confinement and his conclusion that he does not have fair access to the courts. *See* Dkt. 53. Davenport fails to specifically object to any portion of the R&R.

ORDER – 1

