

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT TACOMA

10 KEVIN JOSEPH SMITH,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 ALEXIS T. WALLACE,

14 Defendant.

No. C10-5763 RBL/KLS

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

15 This matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom pursuant to 28  
16 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules MJR 3 and 4. Plaintiff was granted leave to proceed *in forma*  
17 *pauperis*. ECF No. 3. On October 25, 2010, the court ordered Plaintiff to amend his original  
18 complaint or to show cause why it should not be dismissed. ECF No. 5. In particular, the court  
19 noted that Plaintiff purported to bring an action for malicious prosecution, but failed to allege  
20 that the criminal charges at issue had been dismissed. ECF No. 5, p. 3. On November 8, 2010,  
21 Plaintiff filed a proposed First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 6. On November 9, 2010,  
22 Plaintiff filed a second proposed First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 8. He also sent a letter to  
23 the clerk asking that the exhibits from his first complaint be used with his First Amended  
24 Complaint because they are his only copies. ECF No. 9.  
25  
26

ORDER TO AMEND OR SHOW CAUSE- 1

1 **DISCUSSION**

2 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Fed. R. Civ. P.”) 15(a), “[a] party may  
3 amend the party's pleading once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is  
4 served.” Otherwise, the party “may amend the party’s pleading only by leave of court or by  
5 written consent of the adverse party.” *Id.* Leave to amend “shall be freely given when justice so  
6 requires,” and “this policy is to be applied with extreme liberality.” *Id.*; *Morongro Band of*  
7 *Mission Indians v. Rose*, 893 F.2d 1074, 1079 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1990). After a responsive pleading has  
8 been filed, “leave to amend should be granted unless amendment would cause prejudice to the  
9 opposing party, is sought in bad faith, is futile, or creates undue delay.” *Martinez v. Newport*  
10 *Beach City*, 125 F.3d 777, 786 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997).

11  
12 Plaintiff must advise the court as to which complaint he wishes to pursue in this action.  
13 Plaintiff may proceed with only one complaint under this cause number. In addition, Plaintiff is  
14 advised that his amended complaint operates as a complete substitute for (rather than a mere  
15 supplement to) the present complaint. In other words, an amended complaint supersedes the  
16 original in its entirety, making the original as if it never existed. Therefore, reference to another  
17 document is not an acceptable amendment. Plaintiff must include all of his factual allegations,  
18 legal claims, and requests for relief in his amended complaint before the court will order service.  
19

20 The court also notes that the exhibits attached to Plaintiff’s original complaint indicate  
21 that Mr. Smith was tried by a jury and found guilty, and that he filed a motion for relief from the  
22 judgment based, in part, on language contained in the “Certification for Determination of  
23 Probable Cause” filed by Alexis T. Wallace on March 10, 2008. Thus, it does not appear that the  
24 charge or charges of which Plaintiff complains were dismissed. As Plaintiff was previously  
25 advised, an action for malicious prosecution may not be maintained unless the plaintiff alleges  
26

1 and proves that: (1) the prosecution claimed to have been malicious was instituted or continued  
2 by the defendant; (2) there was want of probable cause for the institution or continuation of the  
3 prosecution; (3) the proceedings were instituted or continued through malice; (4) *the*  
4 *proceedings terminated on the merits in favor of the plaintiff, or were abandoned;* and (5) that  
5 the plaintiff suffered injury or damage as a result of the prosecution. *Bender v. City of Seattle*, 99  
6 Wn.2d 582, 593 (1983) (emphasis added).

7  
8 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED:**

9 (1) Plaintiff shall file a response with the court on or before **December 3, 2010**.  
10 Plaintiff shall indicate which version of his proposed amended complaint the court should  
11 review. He shall also indicate whether the prosecution of which he complains was terminated on  
12 the merits in his favor or were abandoned. If Plaintiff fails to file a response or the response  
13 shows the Plaintiff cannot go forward the Court will enter a report and recommendation that the  
14 Amended Complaint be dismissed as frivolous.

15  
16 (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.

17  
18 **DATED** this 17th day of November, 2010.

19  
20   
21 Karen L. Strombom  
22 United States Magistrate Judge  
23  
24  
25  
26