Robinson v.	Department of Corrections State of Washington		Doc. 3	
1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON		
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA			
8	BARBARA STUART-ROBINSON,	No. RBL C10-5861RBL		
9	Plaintiff,			
10	v.	ORDER STAYING CASE PENDING 9 TH		
12	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS	CIRCUIT REVIEW [Dkt. #1]		
13	WASHINGTON STATE,			
14	Defendant			
15	THIS MATTER comes before the court on plaintiff's Motion to Proceed in forma			
16	<i>pauperis</i> . [Dkt. #1.] The court has considered the motion and the remainder of the record herein.			
17	Plaintiff requests that the court permit her to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP), that is,			
18 19	without paying the \$350 filing fee for a civil case. The district court may permit indigent litigants			
20	to proceed in forma pauperis upon completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. §			
21	1915(a). However, the court has broad discretion in denying an application to proceed in forma			
22	liberally and has afforded plaintiff the benefit of any doubt. See Karim-Panahi v. Los Angeles			
23	<i>Police Dep't</i> , 839 F.2d 621, 623 (9th Cir.1988).			
24				
25	The claims alleged by the Plaintiff appear to arise out of the same facts as her prior			
26	complaint in Robinson v. Department of Corrections, Cause no. 10-5652RBL. That case was			
27	dismissed due to a lack of jurisdiction, and this case	dismissed due to a lack of jurisdiction, and this case appears to be a response to that Order of		
28	Dismissal [See Dkt. #32 in Cause No. 10-5652].			
	ORDER	- 1		

1	However, instead of (or in addition to) re-filing her claims with the proper jurisdictional
2	bases, Plaintiff chose to appeal the Court's Order to the Ninth Circuit. [See Dkt. # 34 in Cause
3	No. 10-5652]. Plaintiff cannot prosecute both actions simultaneously, given that they are
4	essentially the same case.
5	The Court will therefore STAY this action, including Plaintiff's IFP application, until
6	such time as the Ninth Circuit resolves her appeal of the Court's dismissal in Cause No. 10-5652.
7 8	The clerk will enter an order STATISTICALLY TERMINATING the case pending that
9	resolution, and the plaintiff is INSTRUCTED to notify the court when that appeal is complete.
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	DATED this 2nd day of FEBRUARY 2011.
13	Konal J. Leyhtun
14	RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
	ORDER - 2