1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 JOSEPH ETHERAGE and KIRSTIN 9 ETHERAGE, and the marital community thereof, **CASE NO. C11-5091BHS** 10 Plaintiffs. 11 ORDER SUBSTITUTING v. 12 DEFENDANT, VACATING IN JOHNNY L. WEST and "JANE DOE" PART PREVIOUS ORDER, 13 WEST, and the marital community AND GRANTING IN PART thereof, ETHERAGE'S MOTION TO 14 **CLARIFY** 15 Defendants. 16 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs Joseph and Kirstin Etherage's 17 ("Etherage") Motion to Clarify. Dkt. 23. The Government does not oppose the motion. 18 Dkt. 24. 19 Etherage requests clarification on two issues in the Court's recent order granting 20 21 their motion to compel (Dkt. 22): (1) whether Defendant West or the Government is 22 required to respond, and (2) whether the responding party is required to respond to 23 Etherage's Requests for Production 16 & 17 or 14 & 15. 24 First, West is still the named Defendant in this action. Although the Government 25 did file a motion to amend the caption and substitute the United States of America for 26 West (Dkt. 6), the parties requested that the Court terminate that motion (Dkt. 10). 27 Moreover, the parties' caption remains Etherage v. West. The Court, however, will sua 28

*sponte* substitute the United States of America pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2) ("the United States shall be substituted as the party defendant"). Therefore, the caption in this action shall reflect this substitution.

With regard to the Court's order granting the motion to compel, the Court requires the Government to respond to the discovery requests because the proceeding is an action against the Government upon certification of the Attorney General or, for the purposes of this action, United States Attorney Jenny Durkin, and the certification was filed January 31, 2011.

Second, Etherage asserts that the Court required responses to requests for production that dealt with the chain of command issue instead of the scope of employment issue. The Court agrees and hereby vacates that portion of the order. The Court also grants Etherage's motion as to their Requests for Production 14 & 15.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 6th day of June, 2011.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge