Strick v. Pitts et al Doc. 56

1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
67	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	ANDREW STRICK,	CASE NO. C11-5110 RBL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING IFP ON APPEAL
11	v. DOUG PITTS,	[DKT. #52]
12	Doog Pills, Defendant.	
13 14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for leave to appeal in forma	
15	pauperis. A court should "deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears	
16	from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit." <i>Tripati v</i> .	
17	First Nat'l Bank & Trust, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369 (9th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted); see also 28	
18	U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).	
19	This Court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice, after he failed to prosecute	
20	the case and failed to respond to an Order to Show Cause why it should not be so dismissed. The	
21	Court did not determine that Plaintiff's Complaint was frivolous, and it cannot do so here.	
22	Plaintiff's Motion for IFP status on appeal is GRANTED.	
23		
24		

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 8th day of November, 2012. Ronald B. Leighton United States District Judge