1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 4 WILLIAM SMITH, 5 No. C11-5401 RBL/KLS 6 Plaintiff, v. ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO 7 AMEND AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PROVIDE SERVICE ADDRESSES RODULF TRIVENO, RANDY PIERCE, 8 CATHERINE BAUM, GARY FLETCHER, WILLIAM ROLLINS, PATRICK GLEBE, 9 DAN VAN OGLE, KERRI McTARSNEY, 10 DENNIS DAHNE, TAMMY NIKULA, DANO GORTON, KATHY RENINGER, and 11 JOSEPH LOPIN. 12 Defendants. 13 This case was removed to this Court from the Thurston County Superior Court on May 14 26, 2011. ECF No. 1. On June 6, 2011, Defendants filed their answer to Plaintiff's complaint. 15 ECF No. 6. On June 10, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion to amend his complaint. ECF No. 9. In 16 17 response, Defendants filed an answer to the amended complaint. ECF No. 22. 18 The amended complaint names five additional parties: EldonVail, Dan Pacholke, Sean 19 Murphy, Steve Hammond, and the Department of Corrections. These new parties must be 20 served with the amended complaint. According to Defendants, Randy Pierce, Gary Fletcher, and 21 William Rollins were never served with the original complaint. ECF No. 22, p. 7. Therefore, 22 they must also be served with the amended complaint.¹ 23 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 24 25 ¹ The Court's docket reflects that Defendants Catherine Baum, Dennis Dahne, Patrick Glebe, Dano Gorton, Joseph Lopin, Kerri McTarsney, Tammy Nikula, Kathy Reninger, Rodulf Triveno, and Dan Van Ogle were served with 26 Plaintiff's original complaint and are represented by Patricia Fetterly of the Washington Attorney General's Office. Therefore, it is not necessary to again serve these defendants with summons and the amended complaint. ORDER - 1

-KLS Smith v. Triveno et al

Doc. 25

- (1) Plaintiff's motion to amend (ECF No. 9) is **GRANTED**; the Clerk shall docket the proposed amended complaint (ECF No. 9-1).
 - (2) The Clerk shall send service forms to Plaintiff;
- (3) Plaintiff is directed to fill out the forms with complete addresses for the newly named defendants and those defendants who were never served with the original complaint.

 These documents must be returned to the Court on or before **August 26, 2011,** or the Court will recommend dismissal of this action against those defendants for failure to prosecute.

DATED this <u>1st</u> day of August, 2011.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge