1	THE HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
10	AT TACOMA	
11	HYDRO SYNTEC CHEMICALS, INC., a Colorado corporation,	NO. CV 11-05470 RBL
12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND
13		
14 15	CHINOOK VENTURES, INC., a Nevada corporation; CHINOOK VENTURES, INC. dba CHINOOK VENTURES LV, a Nevada	
16	corporation; MILLENNIUM BULK TERMINALS LONGVIEW, LLC, a Delaware	
17	limited liability company; RITCHIE BROS AUCTIONEERS (AMERICA) INC., a	
18	Washington corporation,	
19	Defendants.	
20	THIS MATTER is before the Court up	on Plaintiff's Motion to Amend its Complaint
21	THIS MATTER is before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion to Amend its Complaint	
22	and Join New Parties. [Dkt. #36]. Defendants oppose the Motion, arguing that the claims are	
23	baseless and/or premature, and that amendment would be futile.	
24	Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15, leave to amend shall be freely granted when justice so	
25	requires. See Price v. Kramer, 200 F.3d 1237, 1250 (9th Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 531 U.S.	
26	816 (2000). The purpose of the rule is to encourage decisions on the merits rather than on the	
	ORDER - 1 of 2 (CV 11-05470 RBL)	

precision (or imprecision, as the case may be) of the pleadings. *See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2000).

In determining whether to grant leave to amend, district courts look to factors such as "undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of the allowance of the amendment, futility of the amendment, etc." *Foman v. Davis* 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962). Not all of these factors apply with equal force; "it is the consideration of prejudice to the opposing party that carries the greatest weight." *Eminence Capital LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.*, 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003).

Defendants have not persuaded the court that any of these factors is present, or that any deficiencies in the Plaintiff's new claims should not be addressed on the merits. The Motion to Amend [Dkt. #36] is therefore GRANTED and Plaintiff shall file its Amended Complaint [Dkt. #37-1] within five days of the date of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 23rd day of January, 2012.

RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER - 2 of 2 (CV 11-05470 RBL)