Watkins v. Baum et al Doc. 144

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 JERMAINE DEVON WATKINS, CASE NO. C11-5494RBL 9 Plaintiff, ORDER ON MOTION TO AMEND 10 **COMPLAINT** v. 11 CATHERINE M. BAUM, et al, 12 Defendant. 13 14 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Complaint [Dkt. 15 #140]. The Court has reviewed the argument for and against the motion. Following the 16 unsuccessful lawsuits against past and present Department of Corrections employees whom the 17 Plaintiff claims are responsible for the loss of a kidney in 2012, Plaintiff seeks to sue a 18 "John/Jane Doe" whom he wishes to add as a defendant to his lawsuit. Evidence in the 19 possession of a party moving to amend is not newly discovered evidence for purposes of Fed. R. 20 Civ. P. 60(b)(2) if it could have been learned at an earlier date in the proceedings with exercise 21 22 23 24

1	of due diligence. Feature Realty Inc. v. City of Spokane, 331 F.3d 1082, 1093 (9th Cir. 2003).
2	That is the situation here. The motion is DENIED .
3	Dated this 22 nd day of January, 2018.
4	
5	Regular D. Leightun
6	Ronald B. Leighton United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	