1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	T-MOBILE USA, INC., a Delaware	CASE NO. C11-5655RBL
9	corporation,	ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR
10	Plaintiff,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT [DKTS. 98, 99, 100]
11	v.	<u> </u>
12	SHERMAN TERRY; CUSTOM ACCESS, INC.; GEORGE E. COLLETT	
13	D/B/A/ CELL PHONE GEORGE; MATHEW COLLETT; MARILOU	
14	COLLETT; SARAH M. HOFFMAN; SANDRA ORTIZ; JOHN DOES 1-10,	
15	XYZ COMPANIES 1-10,	
16	Defendant.	
17 18	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Motions for Summary Judgment brought by the	
19	defendants: George Collett [Dkt. #98], Marilou Collett [Dkt. #99], and Sarah Hoffman [Dkt.	
20	#100]. In each of the Motions for Summary Judgment the defendants profess their innocence.	
21	They each claim that the allegations in the Complaint are fabricated and that there is no evidence	
22	of wrongdoing. On Friday, October 21, 2011, George Collett confessed of his wrongdoing and	
23	that of others. He admitted that his company knowingly violated the terms of the Preliminary	
24	Injunction entered by Judge Charles A. Pannell, Jr	., United States District Judge for the Northern

1	District of Georgia [Dkt. #82]. This Court found, by clear and unequivocal evidence, that	
2	George Collett was in contempt.	
3	Based upon the evidence filed by T-Mobile in opposition to these motions and the	
4	testimony at the trial for contempt, the Motions for Summary Judgment [Dkt. #s 98, 99 and 100]	
5	are DENIED. The Motion for Extension of Time for Discovery [Dkt. #106] is DENIED.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated this 25 th day of October, 2011.	
8		
9	RONALD B. LEIGHTON	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		