Doc. 30

28, at 1 and 3 (an action based on prosecuting attorney allegedly stacking charges and a "forced plea bargain" that was accomplished by "mis-use; abuse; and application of the state's power in violation of Combs constitutional, Civil-State; Federal and 14th/5 Due Process and Equal Protections Rights:...") *See id.* at 3. The motion to vacate also appears to allege a "poisoned fruit" as to Cause No. 09-104276-0. *See id.* at 3.

In his motion to dismiss, the Respondent argued that Mr. Combs' habeas petition should be dismissed with prejudice because his petition challenges the continuation of the requirement of sex offender registration. *See* ECF No. 26. Mr. Combs' sole habeas claim, that the sex offender registration requirement in his case should have expired, is a collateral consequence of his 1990 conviction for indecent liberties. Therefore, he is not "in custody" for federal habeas corpus purposes. *See Williamson v. Gregoire*, 151 F.3d 1180, 1183 (9th Cir. 1997). Therefore, this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over his habeas petition, based on 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and the undersigned has recommended that Mr. Combs' habeas petition be dismissed with prejudice. Accordingly, the undersigned also recommends Mr. Combs' motion to vacate be denied.

It is **ORDERED**:

- (1) Petitioner's Motion to Vacate (ECF No. 28) is **DENIED.**
- (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Petitioner and counsel for Respondent.

DATED this <u>18th</u> day of April, 2012.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge