

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT TACOMA

6 MICHAEL JOSEPH BRADY,

7 Petitioner,

8 v.

9 MAGGIE MILLER-STOUT,

10 Respondent.

NO. C11-6020 RJB/KLS

ORDER LIFTING STAY AND
DEFERRING RULING ON
MOTION TO AMEND

11 Before the Court are Petitioner Michael Joseph Brady's motion to lift stay (ECF NO.
12 20) and to amend his habeas petition. ECF No. 21. Petitioner seeks to add two additional
13 claims to his habeas petition, which presently list 34 habeas claims. *Id.* Respondent asks that
14 the Court defer ruling on Mr. Brady's motion to amend until she has had an opportunity to
15 completely review Mr. Brady's petition. Respondent also asks for additional time to file her
16 answer. ECF No. 23.

17
18 **STATEMENT OF CASE**

19 Mr. Brady filed a federal habeas corpus petition in this Court. ECF No. 1. He also
20 filed a motion for stay and abeyance of his petition. See ECF No. 9. Respondent filed a
21 response opposing Mr. Brady's motion to stay, as well as a motion to dismiss his petition
22 without prejudice. ECF No. 10. On March 6, 2012, this Court issued an Order directing the
23 parties to submit further briefing in the case. ECF No. 12. The Court specifically directed the
24 parties to provide further information relating to (1) the status of Mr. Brady's pending personal
25 restraint petitions that challenged his state court convictions; (2) Mr. Brady's deadline to file
26

1 his federal habeas petition; and (3) the status of the Washington State courts' determination of
2 the timeliness of his personal restraint petitions. See ECF No. 12, at 1. Mr. Brady and
3 Respondent submitted a response to the Court's Order. ECF Nos. 13 and 14. The Court
4 subsequently granted a stay in Mr. Brady's case, denied the Respondent's motion to dismiss,
5 and directed Mr. Brady to file status reports as to the pendency of his pending state court cases
6 in Cause Nos. 86589-2, 86640-6, and 86856-5. See ECF No. 15. Mr. Brady filed the requisite
7 status reports and relevant certificates of finality. ECF Nos. 16-20 and 22. His last status
8 report noted that a certificate of finality has now been issued as to his last pending state
9 petition, in Washington Supreme Court Cause No. 86856-5. ECF No. 20.

11 Mr. Brady also seeks to amend his habeas petition, which already listed 34 habeas
12 claims, and seeks to add two additional claims, Claims 35 and 36. ECF No. 21. Respondent
13 does not object to lifting the stay, but asks that the Court defer ruling on the motion to amend
14 until she has had a complete opportunity to thoroughly review the entirety of Mr. Brady's
15 petition, what appears to be his 11 state court personal restraint petitions, and his proposed
16 Claims 35 and 36 in relation to the rulings issued by the State courts as to those proposed
17 claims. ECF No. 23. Respondent proposes to address the motion to amend in her answer; if
18 Respondent takes the position that it should be denied, she will discuss her position as to why
19 denial is appropriate. If Respondent agrees that the motion should be granted, she will address
20 the proposed Claims 35 and 36 as is appropriate. Respondent also requests additional time to
21 prepare her answer to the habeas petition.

24 Given the present length of the habeas petition, the Court finds Respondent's request
25 for additional time to be reasonable. The Court also finds it reasonable to defer ruling on Mr.
26

1 Brady's motion to amend as there will be no prejudice to Mr. Brady. He will have an
2 opportunity to fully respond to Respondent's answer, in which Respondent will address the
3 motion to amend. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

4 (1) Petitioner's motion to lift the stay (ECF No. 20) is **GRANTED**.

5
6 (2) The Court shall defer ruling on Petitioner's motion to amend the petition (ECF
7 No. 21) and the Clerk is directed to remove this motion from the Court's calendar. Respondent
8 is directed to address in the answer whether Mr. Brady's motion to amend should be granted or
9 denied. If the Respondent agrees that the motion should be granted, her answer shall address
10 the proposed Claims 35 and 36.

11 (3) Respondent's answer shall be due **on or before March 1, 2013**.

12 (4) The Clerk shall send copies of this Order to Petitioner and counsel for
13 Respondent.
14

15 **DATED** this 30th of November, 2012.

16
17
18 
19 Karen L. Strombom
United States Magistrate Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26