Burton et al v. Jackson et al Doc. 40

1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 4 5 LONNIE LEE BURTON, CASE NO. C12-5104 RBL-KLS 6 Plaintiff, ORDER STAYING DISCOVERY 7 v. 8 PAT GLEBE, ERIC JACKSON, KEVIN SHANAHAN, DAVID POE, TERA 9 MCELRAVY, and THOMAS L. L'HEUREUX, 10 Defendants. 11 12 Presently pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to dismiss filed on October 16, 13 2012. ECF No. 28. The motion is noted for consideration on November 16, 2012. Id. 14 Defendants move for dismissal based, in part, on Plaintiff's failure to exhaust administrative 15 remedies. Id. Also pending are Plaintiff's motions to depose other inmates (ECF No. 21) and 16 motion to compel (ECF No. 22) filed on September 24, 2012. On October 24 and 29, 2012, 17 Plaintiff filed a motion to extend the discovery deadline, and additional motions to depose other 18 inmates and to compel discovery. ECF Nos. 30, 31, and 35. Defendants did not oppose an 19 extension of the discovery deadline (ECF No. 37), and on November 6, 2012, the Court extended 20 the discovery deadline until December 3, 2012. ECF No. 39. In their response to the motion to 21 compel, Defendants ask that due to the sensitive nature of many of the materials Plaintiff is 22 requesting, the Court should defer its ruling until after it considers their pending motion to 23

dismiss. ECF No. 38, at 3.

24

1	
2	
3	DISCUSSION
4	The court has broad discretionary powers to control discovery. Little v. City of Seattle,
5	863 F.2d 681, 685 (9 th Cir. 1988). Upon showing of good cause, the court may deny or limit
6	discovery. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). A court may relieve a party of the burdens of discovery while
7	a dispositive motion is pending. <i>DiMartini v. Ferrin</i> , 889 F.2d 922 (9 th Cir. 1989), amended at
8	906 F.2d 465 (9 th Cir. 1990) Rae v. Union Bank, 725 F.2d 478 (9 th Cir. 1984).
9	In their motion to dismiss, Defendants argue that Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed
10	because he failed to exhaust his administrative remedies. Thus, neither the parties nor this Court
11	should be burdened with the expense of discovery and discovery motions until it is determined
12	that Plaintiff's lawsuit shall go forward.
13	Accordingly, it is ORDERED:
14	(1) All discovery in this matter, including discovery motions (ECF Nos. 21, 22, 30
15	and 35) shall be STAYED pending further order of this Court. The Clerk is directed to remove
16	ECF Nos. 21, 22, 30 and 35 from the Court's calendar.
17	(2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and to counsel for
18	Defendants.
19	DATED this 7 th day of November, 2012.
20	
21	Koren I. Strombom
22	Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge
23	
24	