

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
5 AT TACOMA

6 JEROME CEASAR ALVERTO,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
10 BARBARA J GRONSETH, STATE OF  
11 WASHINGTON, CATHY ALPIN,

12 Defendants.

CASE NO. C12-5518 BHS-KLS

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
"SUPPLEMENT" COMPLAINT AND  
GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE  
SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

13 Before the Court is Plaintiff's "Motion to Supplement Original Complaint. ECF No. 21.  
14 Plaintiff moves to supplement his allegations against Defendant Barbara Gronseth to add a  
15 retaliation claim against Defendant Gronseth stemming from an incident that occurred in the law  
16 library on October 2, 2012. *Id.* Defendants do not object to the amendment. ECF No. 29.

17 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Fed.R.Civ.P.") 15(a), "[a] party may  
18 amend the party's pleading once as a matter of course within 21 days after serving it, or 21 days  
19 after service of a responsive pleading, or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e),  
20 or (f), whichever is earlier. In all other cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the  
21 opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The Court should freely give leave when  
22 justice so requires. Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(1)(A)(B) and (2). After a responsive pleading has been  
23 filed, "leave to amend should be granted unless amendment would cause prejudice to the  
24 opposing party, is sought in bad faith, is futile, or creates undue delay." *Martinez v. Newport  
Beach City*, 125 F.3d 777, 786 (9th Cir. 1997). The decision to allow a party to amend its

1 | complaint after the period when the party may do so as a matter of right lies within the sound  
2 | discretion of the trial court. *United States v. Webb*, 655 F.2d 977, 979 (9th Cir. 1981).

3 |         Accordingly, Plaintiff may file a Second Amended Complaint in order to include the  
4 | retaliation claim against Defendant Gronseth. No further amendments shall be allowed. In  
5 | addition, Plaintiff is advised that the First Amended Complaint will operate as a complete  
6 | substitute for (rather than a mere supplement to) the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 14). In other  
7 | words, an amended complaint supersedes the original in its entirety, making the original as if it  
8 | never existed. Therefore, reference to a prior pleading or another document is unacceptable –  
9 | once Plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original pleading or pleadings will no longer serve  
10 | any function in this case. Therefore, Plaintiff’s request to “supplement” his amended complaint  
11 | is denied. Plaintiff must file a new and complete complaint – which he should title “Second  
12 | Amended Complaint.” All claims and the involvement of every defendant should be included in  
13 | the Second Amended Complaint; otherwise, the claims will no longer exist.

14 |         Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

15 |         (1) Plaintiff’s Motion to Supplement (ECF No. 21) is **DENIED**. Plaintiff may file a  
16 | Second Amended Complaint **on or before December 28, 2012**. If Plaintiff fails to submit a  
17 | complete Second Amended Complaint by that date, this action will proceed on the Amended  
18 | Complaint (ECF No. 14).

19 |         (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.

20 |         **DATED** this 30th day of November, 2012.

21 |  
22 |   
23 | Karen L. Strombom  
24 | United States Magistrate Judge