1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT TACOMA		
10			
11	THOMAS SHEARER,	CASE NO. C12-5532 RJB	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REMANDING STATE LAW CLAIMS	
13	v.		
14	TACOMA SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 10,		
15	Defendant.		
16	This matter comes before the court on the court's order to show cause. Dkt. 25. The		
17	court has considered the relevant record and the remainder of the file herein.		
18	On April 29, 2013, the court dismissed the federal claims in this matter, and ordered the		
19	parties to show cause why the court should not decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over		
20	the state law claims and dismiss those claims without prejudice. Dkt. 25.		
21	Under 28 U.S.C. § 1367, a federal court may assume supplemental jurisdiction over all		
22	other claims that are so related to claims in the action within the original jurisdiction so that they		
23	form part of the same case or controversy. The Court may decline to exercise this supplemental		
24	jurisdiction if (1) the claim raises a novel or complex issue of state law, (2) the claim		

1	substantially predominates over the claim or claims over which the district court has original	
2	jurisdiction, (3) the district court has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction	
3	or (4) in exceptional circumstances, there are other compelling reasons for declining jurisdiction	
4	28 U.S.C. § 1367(c).	
5	In this case, the claims over which the court has original jurisdiction, pursuant to 28	
6	U.S.C. § 1983, have been dismissed. The state law claims, notably those with regard to breach	
7	of contract, estoppel, and interpretation of the provisions of RCW 28A, involve complex issues	
8	of state law, particularly in light of the facts in the record. Further, the claims under state law	
9	appear to predominate over the federal constitutional claims over which the court had original	
10	jurisdiction. The court should decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law	
11	claims and should remand the case to state court.	
12	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the court declines to exercise supplemental	
13	jurisdiction over the state law claims in this case, and those claims are REMANDED to the	
14	Superior Court of the State of Washington for Pierce County. This case is closed.	
15	The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and	
16	to any party appearing <i>pro se</i> at said party's last known address.	
17	Dated this 8th day of May, 2013.	
18	A PAZ	
19	ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		