1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	JACOB SUPERCHI,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C12-5771 BHS
10	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO REMAND
11	DOLLAR TREE STORES INC, a	
12	Virginia corporation; and DOLLAR TREE DISTRIBUTION, INC., a Virginia	
13	corporation,	
14	Defendants.	
15	This matter comes before the Count on Plaintiff Ismas Symanshi's ("Symanshi")	
16	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff James Superchi's ("Superchi")	
17	motion to remand (Dkt. 10). The Court has considered the pleadings filed in support of	
18	and in opposition to the motion and the remainder of the file and hereby grants the	
19	motion for the reasons stated herein.	
20	I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY	
	On May 29, 2012, Superchi filed a complaint against Defendants Dollar Tree	
21	Stores, Inc. and Dollar Tree Distribution, Inc. ("Dollar Tree") in Clark County Superior	
22		

Court for the State of Washington asserting only state law causes of action. Dkt. 1, Exh.

A.

On September 24, 2012, Superchi filed a motion to remand. Dkt. 10. On October 12, 2012, Dollar Tree responded. Dkt. 14. Superchi did not reply.

II. DISCUSSION

The only issue before the Court is whether the Court may consider an amount of reasonable attorney's fees in the calculation of the jurisdictional minimum. A removing defendant must "prove by a preponderance of the evidence" that the jurisdictional minimum amount in controversy has been met. *Lowdermilk v. U.S. Bank. Nat'l Ass'n*, 479 F.3d 994, 998 (9th Cir. 2007) (quoting *Abrego Abrego v. The Dow Chemical Co.*, 443 F.3d 676, 683 (9th Cir. 2006)). Where a plaintiff has not instituted suit in federal court, "[t]here is a strong presumption that the plaintiff has not claimed a large amount in order to confer jurisdiction on a federal court " *St. Paul Mercury Indem. Co. v. Red Cab Co.*, 303 U.S. 283, 290 (1938).

In this case, the parties submit differing estimates of the amount of attorney's fees. The Court finds that Superchi's estimate of his counsel's fees is just as reasonable as Dollar Tree's estimate of Superchi's counsel's fees. Therefore, the Court grants Superchi's motion to remand because Dollar Tree has failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional amount.

III. ORDER Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Superchi's motion to remand (Dkt. 10) is **GRANTED**. The Clerk shall remand this matter to Clark County Superior Court. Dated this 30th day of October, 2012. United States District Judge