1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	AT TACOMA	
8	TEN TALENTS INVESTMENT 1 LLC,	CASE NO. C12-5849 RBL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUESTING RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR
11	v.	RECONSIDERATION
12	OHIO SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY,	
13	Defendant.	
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Ohio Security's Motion for Reconsideration [Dkt.	
15	#24] of the Court's Order [Dtk. #22] Granting Plaintiff Ten Talents' Motion to Compel [Dkt.	
16 17	#14] production of documents withheld from Ohio's claims file under the attorney-client	
18	privilege.	
19	The Motion for Reconsideration is based on two arguments:	
20	1. The Court erroneously believed that Ohio was asserting an "advice of counsel"	
21		
22		
23	is no attorney-client privilege in first party bad faith litigation can be overcome by a showing that	
24	the attorney was not engaged in the claim investigation, but was instead providing only a legal	

opinion about whether coverage exists under the law. This determination is made by the Court following an in camera review of the disputed documents. 2 3 The Court has conducted an in camera review and is satisfied that Mr. McAllister did not participate in the investigation and instead provided advice only on the subject of whether 5 coverage existed on a given set of facts. Nevertheless, under Local Rule 7(h), no motion for reconsideration will be granted unless 6 7 an opposing party has been afforded the opportunity to file a response. The Court hereby 8 REQUESTS that Ten Talents file a short Response to the Motion for Reconsideration, if it believes that either of these two issues is still in play. The Response should be no more than 8 pages and should be filed no later than May 31. 10 11 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of May, 2013. 12 13 14 RONALD B. LEIGHTON 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24