1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT TACOMA		
10	PHILLIP BURTON HAUSKEN,		
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C12-5882 BHS-JRC	
12	v.	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S	
13	D LEWIS, JOYCE MORRELL, and DAWN THOMPSON,	MOTION FOR DEFAULT	
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States		
17	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. §		
18	636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4.		
19	Plaintiff has filed a motion asking the Court to enter default judgment (ECF No. 42).		
20	Defendants ask the Court to strike plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 43). Defendants argue that		
21	default is improper because they have appeared and filed a motion to dismiss (<i>id</i> .).		
22	Defendants' motion to dismiss prevented the entry of default while the motion was		
23	pending. Ashby v. McKenna, 331 F.3d 1148, 1152 (10th Cir. 2003). On September 12, 2013,		
24	4 the Court entered an order adopting in part and declining to adopt in part the Report and		

Recommendation (ECF No. 40). The Court held that defendants were immune from damages,
 but the Court also held that plaintiff could proceed with a claim for injunctive relief that would
 prevent defendants from taking any further funds from his inmate account for the payment of
 cable television (*id*). Defendants needed to file an answer, or another motion that would prevent
 the entry of default within 14 days of entry of the Court's order. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12
 (a)(4)(A). By the end of September, 2013, defendants had defaulted in this action.

7 In November of 2013, the Court entered an order to show cause. The Court's order
8 informed both parties that defendants had "failed to file a timely answer." (ECF No. 41).
9 Defendants took no action for three additional months and have waited for plaintiff to file a
10 motion for default.

The ability to obtain default protects a litigant from improper delay. *Swaim v. Moltan Co.*, 73 F.3d 711, 716 (7th Cir. 1996). This action has languished for five months because
defendants have not filed an answer. Defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's motion for default
is denied.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

malin have

J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge

Dated this 4th day of March, 2014.