Lloyd v. Yar	key et al
--------------	-----------

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1			
2			
3		TES DISTRICT COURT	
4	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
5	LARRY LLOYD,		
6 7	Plaintiff, v.	No. C12-5913 RJB	
8	BRIAN YANKEY, Correctional Officer;	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION DENYING	
9	P.A. JOHNSON, BRUCE KALER, Medical Doctor, RN SUE STEVEN,	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
10	Supervisor for CONMED, P. McCLAN, Nurse Practitioner formerly known as		
11	John Doe,		
12	Defendants.		
13 14	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate		
15	Judge Karen L. Strombom. Dkt. 91. The	Magistrate Judge recommends that the motion for	
16	summary judgment of Defendants Arlen Joh	nson, A.R.N.P, Bruce Kaler, M.D., and Sue Stevens,	

18 time to respond to Defendants' motion (Dkt. 87) be denied as moot.

The Defendants have filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Dkt. 93. Plaintiff has filed Objections contending that his motion for an extension of time should be granted. Dkt. 94. Plaintiff also filed a motion to amend and supplement Plaintiff's response to the motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 95.

R.N. (Dkt. 55) be denied without prejudice, and that Plaintiff's motion for a third extension of

Defendants' objections to the Report and Recommendation are not persuasive. Although the Defendants have corrected many of the deficiencies in their motion, i.e. supplied supporting affidavits of the treating physicians, the filing of new evidentiary materials in an Objection to the

ORDER - 1

1	Report and Recommendation is not a proper procedure. The Report and Recommendation			
2	properly recommends a denial of the motion in light of the evidence presented to the Magistrate			
3	Judge. Because this motion is being denied without prejudice, Defendants may resubmit a			
4	motion for summary judgment to the Magistrate Judge.			
5	The Plaintiff's request for relief will be denied as moot. In the event Defendants renew			
6	their motion for summary judgment, Plaintiff will have the opportunity to respond.			
7 8	The Court, having reviewed the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Karen			
9	L. Strombom, Plaintiff's Objections to the Report and Recommendation, Plaintiff's motion to			
10				
11	1.	The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation.		
12	2.	The motion for summary judgment of Defendants Arlen Johnson, Bruce		
13	Kaler, Sue Stevens, and P. McClan (Dkt. 55) is <b>DENIED without</b> prejudice.			
14	3.	Plaintiff's third motion for continuance (ECF No. 87) is <b>DENIED as</b>		
15 16		ΜΟΟΤ		
10	4.	Plaintiff's motion to amend and supplement Plaintiff's response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 95) is <b>DENIED as</b>		
18		MOOT.		
19	5.	The matter is <b>re-referred</b> to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.		
20		The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and to the Hon. Karen L. Strombom.		
21				
22	DAT	<b>ED</b> this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2013.		
23		ALAT		
24		Naker Horgan		
25 26	25 ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge			
20				
	ORDER - 2			