

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT TACOMA

7 JAMES M. HINKLEY,

8 Plaintiff,

No. C12-5969 RBL/KLS

9 v.

10 ELDON VAIL, SCOTT RUSSELL,
11 KERRY ARLOW, JEFFREY L.
12 CARLSEN, STEVE DEMARS, JOHN
13 AND JANE DOES 1-32,

Defendants.

14 REQUEST FROM COURT FOR
15 ADDITIONAL BRIEFING FROM
16 DEFENDANTS

17 Defendants move this Court to reconsider its previous Order (ECF No. 52). Defendants
18 claim, in part, that the Court has misinterpreted DOC 590.500. ECF No 56. Defendants are
19 directed to review ECF No. 46 in Case No. C10-5250 *Christen v. DOC, et al.* In that case, the
20 defendants advised the Court that DOC 590.500 “includes documents an offender requests in
21 discovery which he must pay to receive.” *Id.* In the case currently before the Court, and in its
22 Motion to Reconsider, the Defendants now say that is not the policy. The Court is requesting
23 additional briefing addressing the apparent inconsistent positions taken by the Department of
24 Corrections.

25 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED:**

26 (1) Defendants shall provide the Court with additional briefing addressing the
specific issue **on or before July 5, 2013.**

1 (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.
2

3 **DATED** this 19th day of June, 2103.
4

5 
6

7 Karen L. Strombom
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26