

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
5 AT TACOMA

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 APPROXIMATELY ONE MILLION  
10 SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY FOUR  
THOUSAND (1,784,000)  
CONTRABAND CIGARETTES, et al.,

11 Defendants.

CASE NO. C12-5992 BHS

ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
TO COMPEL

12  
13 This matter comes before the Court on the United States of America's (the  
14 "Government") motion to compel (Dkt. 86). The Court has considered the pleadings filed  
15 in support of and in opposition to the motion and the remainder of the file and hereby  
16 grants the motion for the reasons stated herein.

17 **I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

18 On November 16, 2012, the Government filed its verified civil forfeiture  
19 complaint and notice of the complaint. Dkt. 1. On December 28, 2012, Sophia Comenout  
20 ("Sophia"), Robert R. Comenout, Jr. ("Robert Jr."), and Robert R. Comenout, Sr. (Robert  
21 Sr.) (collectively "Claimants") filed a claim for return of property. Dkt. 4. On January 16,  
22 2013, Claimants responded to the Government's complaint. Dkts. 17-19.

1 On October 19, 2016, the Government served separate interrogatories and requests  
2 for production upon Claimants. Dkt. 86-1 at 1. Despite numerous assurances by  
3 Claimants' counsel that responses were forthcoming, Claimants had yet failed to provide  
4 discovery by January 3, 2017. Dkts. 86-1, 86-2, 86-3, 86-6. On January 3, 2017, counsel  
5 for the Government conferred by telephone with Claimants' counsel regarding the  
6 outstanding discovery. Dkt. 86-1. Claimants' counsel indicated that he hoped to complete  
7 responses to discovery requests by January 5, 2016, but he was unable to do so. *Id.*

8 On January 5, 2016, the Government moved to compel discovery. Dkt. 86.  
9 January 17, 2017, Robert Jr. and Sophia provided discovery to the Government's  
10 interrogatories. Dkt. 88. Sophia's discovery was not verified under oath. *Id.* On January  
11 19, 2017, the Government withdrew its motion to compel as to Robert Jr. Dkt. 88. On  
12 January 30, 2017, Sophia provided verified discovery to the Government. Dkt. 90. On  
13 February 3, 2017, the Government withdrew its motion as to Sophia. Dkt. 90. Remaining  
14 before the Court is the Government's motion as to Robert Sr.'s outstanding discovery.  
15 Claimants have not responded to the Government's motion to compel.

## 16 II. DISCUSSION

17 "Parties may obtain discovery regarding any nonprivileged matter that is relevant  
18 to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case . . . ." Fed. R.  
19 Civ. P. 26(b)(1). A party seeking discovery may move for an order compelling  
20 production if a party fails to produce documents as requested. Fed. R. Civ. P.  
21 37(a)(3)(B)(iv). Claimants failed to provide timely discovery under Federal Rules of  
22

1 Civil Procedure 6, 33, and 34 without explanation. Therefore, the Court grants the  
2 Government's motion.

3         Additionally, under Rule 37, the Court "must" award reasonable expenses  
4 associated with the motion, including attorney's fees, if the moving party prevails. Fed.  
5 R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5). *See also Brown*, 2015 WL 630926 at \*6. However, the Court must  
6 not order this payment if:

7             (i) the movant filed the motion before attempting in good faith to obtain the  
8 disclosure or discovery without court action; (ii) the opposing party's  
9 nondisclosure, response, or objection was substantially justified; or (iii)  
10 other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

11 Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(A). The party facing sanctions bears the burden of proving that  
12 its failure to disclose the required information was substantially justified or is harmless. *R*  
13 & *R Sails, Inc. v. Ins. Co. of Pennsylvania*, 673 F.3d 1240, 1246 (9th Cir. 2012).

14         The Government has not yet requested expenses. Also, although Claimants' failure  
15 to timely respond to discovery requests necessitated that the Government file its motion,  
16 a substantial portion of the requested discovery was provided by Claimants prior to the  
17 entry of this order. Because the Government did not request fees in its motion, Claimants  
18 have not had the opportunity to be heard on the issue. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(A)  
19 ("[T]he Court must, after giving an opportunity to be heard, require the party or attorney .  
20 . . . to pay the movant's reasonable expenses incurred in making the motion."). Therefore,  
21 the Court finds it would be unjust to award fees where the Government has not yet  
22 requested them and Claimants appear to be complying with their discovery obligations,

1 albeit in an untimely fashion. If Robert Sr. fails to comply with this order, the issue of  
2 fees may be addressed in any subsequent discovery motions.

3 **III. ORDER**

4 Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Government's motion to compel (Dkt.  
5 86) is **GRANTED**. Robert Sr. shall provide discovery responses within seven (7) days of  
6 the date of this order.

7 Dated this 8th day of February, 2017.

8  
9 

10 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22