1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	WILLIAM SCHEIDLER,	CASE NO. C12-5996 RBL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING OBJECTION TO
10	v.	ORDER AFFIRMING DENIAL OF DISQUALIFICATION
11	JAMES AVERY, et al.,	[DKT. #110]
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff Scheidler's "Objection and Motion to	
15	Strike Chief Judge Pechman's Ruling for 'Fraud Upon the Court,'" [Dkt. # 110], and his	
16	Supplement to that Objection [Dkt. #111].	
17	Scheidler's first effort to obtain the court's disqualification was filed shortly after he filed	
18	this case, almost three years ago [Dkt. #11]. The motion was denied [Dkt. #28] and referred to	
19	the Chief Judge [Dkt. #29]. Judge Pechman confirmed the denial [Dkt. # 37] and Scheidler	
20	sought Reconsideration of her Order [Dkt. # 40]. That motion was similarly denied [Dkt. # 41].	
21	The Ninth Circuit affirmed these rulings:	
22	The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Scheidler's motion for recusal of the district court judge because Scheidler failed to identify a ground for recusal. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. §§ 144, 455; <i>Pesnell v. Arsenault</i> , 543 F.3d 1038, 1043	
23		
24	(9th Cir. 2008) (standard of review).	

1 [Dkt. #51 at 4]

The Ninth Circuit remanded the case to permit Scheidler to amend his complaint
to state a viable claim, and he employed a similar strategy to obtain the court's recusal.
His most recent Motion to Disqualify [Dkt. #86] was denied [Dkt. #107] and referred to
the Chief Judge under GR 8(c), Local Rules W.D. Wash.

Judge Pechman "affirmed" the decision [Dkt. #109], and Scheidler's current Motion is a
sort of appeal of that order, back to this Court. Scheidler asks this Court to "strike" the Chief
Judge's Order and to "set the matter of Judge Leighton's Disqualification for a jury trial." [*See*Proposed Oder at Dkt. #110-2].

This Court does not have jurisdiction to review or overturn the Chief Judge's Order
affirming this Court's own prior Order. The corrective is an appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of
Appeals, under the Rules and standards governing interlocutory appeals.

Scheidler's "objection" (and his request for a jury trial on the issue) is DENIED.
Scheidler's effort at disqualification has now been rejected eight times, by three different
courts. A ninth effort (other than an attempt to obtain Ninth Circuit review of this Order) will
result in sanctions for frivolous and abusive filings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Dated this 6<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015.

Ronald B. Leighton United States District Judge