1	HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	AT TACOMA	
8	WILLIAM SCHEIDLER,	CASE NO. C12-5996 RBL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING RECUSAL
10 11	v.	
12	JAMES AVERY, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on <i>pro se</i> Plaintiff William Scheidler's Motion to	
15	Recuse under 28 U.S.C. §144 and §455. Plaintiff's underlying lawsuit asserts various claims	
16	against various Kitsap County officials, including a deputy prosecutor. Plaintiff claims that	
17	because Judge Leighton defended attorneys from malpractice claims while in private practice, he	
18	will be biased against Plaintiff in this case.	
19	28 U.S.C. §144 requires a party seeking such relief to substantiate his claim of bias or	
20	prejudice:	
21	Whenever a party to any proceeding in a district court makes and files a timely and sufficient affidavit that the judge before whom	
22	the matter is pending has a personal bias or prejudice either against him or in favor of any adverse party, such judge shall proceed no	
23		
24		

1 The affidavit shall state the facts and the reasons for the belief that bias or prejudice exists, and shall be filed not less than ten days 2 before the beginning of the term at which the proceeding is to be heard, or good cause shall be shown for failure to file it within 3 such time. A party may file only one such affidavit in any case. It shall be accompanied by a certificate of counsel of record stating 4 that it is made in good faith. 5 Section 455(a) similarly provides: 6 Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall 7 disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned. 8 Plaintiff has not met the requirements of either recusal statute, and his claim of general 9 bias based on a portion of the Court's private practice a decade ago is insufficient as a matter of 10 law. There is no claim and no evidence of any personal bias or prejudice. 11 Under GR 8(c), Local Rules W.D. Wash., prior to a ruling on the motion by the chief 12 judge, this Court may "review the motion papers and decide whether to recuse voluntarily." 13 This Court has reviewed the Motion and it will not recuse voluntarily. It therefore refers 14 the matter to Chief Judge Marsha J. Pechman. 15 The Clerk shall send uncertified copies of this order to all counsel of record, and to any 16 party appearing pro se. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 Dated this 27th day of December, 2012. 19 20 Ronald B. Leighton 21 United States District Judge 22 23 24