Johnson v. Di Vittorio et al Doc. 24

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 10 AT TACOMA 11 ROBERT E JOHNSON, 12 CASE NO. C12-6018 RJB-JRC Plaintiff. 13 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S v. MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE 14 AND DENYING AN UNTIMELY SARA DI VITTORIO et al., MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION 15 Defendants. 16 17 The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States 18 Magistrate Judge, J. Richard Creatura. The Court's authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § 19 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4. 20 Plaintiff asks the Court to grant him a forty-five day extension of time to file a response 21 to defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 22). Plaintiff also asks the Court to reconsider the 22 January 16, 2013, order denying appointment of counsel (ECF No. 22, declaration of plaintiff 23 ¶1.2). Defendants do not oppose the motion for a continuance (ECF No. 23). 24 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR

Plaintiff alleges that he is legally blind and it takes him longer to prepare legal papers (ECF No. 22, declaration of plaintiff \P 2.1 to 2.3). Plaintiff has shown good cause for an extension of time.

The Court grants the motion for an extension of time. Plaintiff's response to defendants' motion to dismiss will be due on or before **June 7, 2013**. Defendants' reply, if any, must be filed on or before **June 14, 2013**. The Clerk's Office is instructed to re-note defendants' motion to dismiss for **June 14, 2013**.

Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the order denying appointment of counsel is untimely and does not follow the Local Rules for bringing this type of motion. *See* Local Rule 7(h). Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and must be filed within fourteen days of the order. Local Rule 7(h) States:

- (1) *Standard*. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored. The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence.
- (2) *Procedure and Timing*. A motion for reconsideration shall be plainly labeled as such. The motion shall be filed within fourteen days after the order to which it relates is filed. The motion shall be noted for consideration for the day it is filed. The motion shall point out with specificity the matters which the movant believes were overlooked or misapprehended by the court, any new matters being brought to the court's attention for the first time, and the particular modifications being sought in the court's prior ruling. Failure to comply with this subsection may be grounds for denial of the motion. The pendency of a motion for reconsideration shall not stay discovery or any other procedure.
- (3) *Response*. No response to a motion for reconsideration shall be filed unless requested by the court. No motion for reconsideration will be granted without such a request. The request will set a time when the response is due, and may limit briefing to particular issues or points raised by the motion, may authorize a reply, and may prescribe page limitations.

The Court's order denying appointment of counsel was filed January 16, 2013 (ECF No.

13). Plaintiff had until January 31, 2013 to file a motion for reconsideration. Plaintiff's request,

| 1 | made in his April 4, 2013 declaration, is untimely (ECF No. 22). Further, plaintiff's request for |
|----|---|
| 2 | reconsideration is not in the form required by the Court's Local Rule. Plaintiff's motion for |
| 3 | reconsideration is denied. |
| 4 | Dated this 10 th day of May, 2013. |
| 5 | Dated this 10 day of May, 2013. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Though water |
| 8 | J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge |
| 9 | Officed States Magistrate Judge |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | |