1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

CAROL ENGEN,

v.

No. 13-CV-5034-RBL

Plaintiff,

MS SERVICES LLC/MOUNTAIN STATES ADJUSTMENTS,

Defendant.

**ORDER** 

(Dkt. #1)

## ORDER ON APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Plaintiff has applied to proceed in forma pauperis in this suit under the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.

A district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The court has broad discretion in resolving the application, but "the privilege of proceeding in forma pauperis in civil actions for damages should be sparingly granted." Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th Cir. 1963), cert. denied 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Moreover, a court should "deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit." Tripati v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369 (9th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). An in forma pauperis complaint is frivolous if "it ha[s] no arguable substance in law or fact." *Id.* (citing *Rizzo v*.

Dawson, 778 F.2d 527, 529 (9th Cir. 1985); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir. 1984).

Here, Plaintiff's proposed complaint seeks statutory damages of \$1,000 against

Defendant for obtaining her credit report without a permissible purpose, violating 15 U.S.C.

1681b. Mountain States Adjustments appears, however, to be a collection agency, which is authorized to obtain credit reports. Under 15 U.S.C. 1681b(a)(3), "any consumer reporting agency may furnish a consumer report . . . [t]o a person it has reason to believe . . . intends to use the information in connection with a credit transaction involving the consumer or . . . review or collection of an account." Thus, the proposed Complaint appears to have no arguable substance in law.

## CONCLUSION

Plaintiff may amend her application to proceed *in forma pauperis* to explain why Defendant has otherwise violated FCRA, or she may pay the filing fee. Either must occur within **15 days** of this order or the case will be dismissed.

Dated this 29th day of January 2013.

Ronald B. Leighton

United States District Judge