Olmstead v. Mabus Doc. 66

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 4 AT TACOMA 5 6 RICK OLMSTEAD, CASE NO. C13-5051 BHS Plaintiff, 7 ORDER GRANTING 8 v. PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR **VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL AND** SECRETARY OF THE NAVY RAY **DENYING AS MOOT** MABUS. **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO** 10 DISMISS AND/OR FOR Defendant. SUMMARY JUDGMENT 11 12 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Rick Olmstead's ("Olmstead") 13 motion for voluntary dismissal (Dkt. 62) and Defendant Ray Mabus's ("Mabus") motion 14 to dismiss and/or for summary judgment (Dkt. 46). The Court has considered the 15 pleadings filed in support of and in opposition to the motions and the remainder of the 16 file and hereby grants Olmstead's motion and denies as moot Mabus's motion for the 17 reasons stated herein. 18 I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY 19 On January 23, 2013, Olmstead filed a complaint against Mabus, in his official 20 capacity as Secretary of the Navy, alleging age discrimination and harassment in 21 violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. Dkt. 1. On January 29, 2014, 22

Mabus filed a motion to dismiss and/or for summary judgment. Dkt. 16. On March 10, 2014, the Court granted Mabus's motion. Dkt. 31. 3 On March 21, 2014, the Court granted Olmstead leave to file an amended complaint. Dkt. 32. On March 27, 2014, Olmstead filed an amended complaint, alleging 5 that the Navy retaliated against him for filing his earlier lawsuit. Dkt. 33. 6 On December 10, 2014, Mabus moved to dismiss and/or for summary judgment. Dkt. 46. On December 16, 2014, Olmstead moved to voluntary dismiss his suit under 8 Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). Dkt. 62. On December 22, 2014, Mabus replied. Dkt. 64. 9 II. DISCUSSION 10 Olmstead moves to voluntarily dismiss under Rule 41(a)(2). Dkt. 62. Mabus does 11 not oppose Olmstead's motion. Dkt. 64. Mabus, however, argues that dismissal should 12 be with prejudice. *Id*. 13 Under Rule 41(a)(2), "an action may be dismissed at the plaintiff's request only by 14 court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). The 15 decision to grant or deny a request pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) is within the sound 16 discretion of the district court and is reviewed only for abuse of discretion. Sams v. 17 Beech Aircraft Corp., 625 F.2d 273, 277 (9th Cir. 1980). "A district court should grant a 18 motion for voluntary dismissal under Rule 41(a)(2) unless a defendant can show that it 19 will suffer some plain legal prejudice as a result." Smith v. Lenches, 263 F.3d 972, 975 20 (9th Cir. 2001). 21 In order to protect the defendant's interest in having to relitigate the matter, the

Court may condition "the dismissal without prejudice upon the payment of appropriate

22

1	costs and attorney fees." Westlands Water Dist. v. United States, 100 F.3d 94, 97 (9th
2	Cir. 1996). The defendant, however, "should only be awarded attorney fees for work
3	which cannot be used in any future litigation of these claims." <i>Id.</i> (citing <i>Koch v</i> .
4	Hankins, 8 F.3d 650, 652 (9th Cir. 1993)).
5	Here, Mabus does not oppose Olmstead's motion, and no legal prejudice has been
6	identified. The Court therefore grants Olmstead's motion for voluntary dismissal. In
7	order to protect Mabus's interest, the Court conditions this dismissal on the payment of
8	costs and attorney fees for work that cannot be used in future litigation of Olmstead's
9	claims. Accordingly, the Court will set a briefing schedule to determine the proper
10	amount, if any, of Mabus's costs and fees.
11	III. ORDER
12	Therefore, it is hereby <b>ORDERED</b> that Olmstead's motion for voluntary
12 13	Therefore, it is hereby <b>ORDERED</b> that Olmstead's motion for voluntary dismissal (Dkt. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> . This action is <b>DISMISSED without prejudice</b> .
13	dismissal (Dkt. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> . This action is <b>DISMISSED without prejudice</b> .
13 14	dismissal (Dkt. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> . This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice.  Mabus's motion to dismiss and/or for summary judgment (Dkt. 46) is <b>DENIED</b> as moot.
13 14 15	dismissal (Dkt. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> . This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice.  Mabus's motion to dismiss and/or for summary judgment (Dkt. 46) is <b>DENIED</b> as moot.  Mabus may file a brief regarding the proper amount of costs and fees no later than
13 14 15 16	dismissal (Dkt. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> . This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice.  Mabus's motion to dismiss and/or for summary judgment (Dkt. 46) is <b>DENIED</b> as moot.  Mabus may file a brief regarding the proper amount of costs and fees no later than January 16, 2015. Olmstead may file a response brief no later than January 23, 2015.
13 14 15 16 17	dismissal (Dkt. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> . This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice.  Mabus's motion to dismiss and/or for summary judgment (Dkt. 46) is <b>DENIED</b> as moot.  Mabus may file a brief regarding the proper amount of costs and fees no later than January 16, 2015. Olmstead may file a response brief no later than January 23, 2015.  The Clerk shall note the issue for consideration on January 23, 2015.
13 14 15 16 17 18	dismissal (Dkt. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> . This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice.  Mabus's motion to dismiss and/or for summary judgment (Dkt. 46) is <b>DENIED</b> as moot.  Mabus may file a brief regarding the proper amount of costs and fees no later than January 16, 2015. Olmstead may file a response brief no later than January 23, 2015.  The Clerk shall note the issue for consideration on January 23, 2015.  Dated this 31st day of December, 2014.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dismissal (Dkt. 62) is <b>GRANTED</b> . This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice.  Mabus's motion to dismiss and/or for summary judgment (Dkt. 46) is <b>DENIED</b> as moot.  Mabus may file a brief regarding the proper amount of costs and fees no later than January 16, 2015. Olmstead may file a response brief no later than January 23, 2015.  The Clerk shall note the issue for consideration on January 23, 2015.