

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

JAMES O'NEIL WIGGIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

WILLIAM ROLLINS, RICHARD
ENDERS, MARK BEITER, MARY
KEPLER, ROBERTA KANIVE, TIM
PANEK, SHERYL ALBERT, FRANK
LONGANO, KELLY REMY, MARTHA
NEWLON, FRED NAVARRO, J.
DAVID KENNEDY, STEVE
HAMMOND, CHRIS LOFGREN, C.
PHILLIPS, LONIE FIGUEROA, JOHN
DOMINOSKI, RANDALL PIERCE,
SARAH SMITH, DEAN KAO, FLO
FADELE, DALE FETROE, EDWARD
HOPFNER, INDA HERTZ, JOHN
HURLEY, SANDRA CONNER,
BENJAMIN RODRIGUEZ, CAROL
THAMERT, JONATHAN NEALL,
RAMON RELYEA, JACKIE SHUEY,
EVELYNE DRYER, SCOTT LIGHT,
MARY COLTER, LISA ANDERSON-
LONGANO, PHU NGO, MICHAEL
FURST, DALE KRAMP,
WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C13-5057 BHS/KLS

ORDER RE: MOTIONS FOR
OVERLENGTH BRIEF

Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to file an overlength brief in opposition to
Defendant Dreyer's motion to dismiss. ECF No. 104. Plaintiff's proposed response is attached

1 to his motion. ECF No. 104-1. Plaintiff also filed a motion to expedite. ECF No. 107. Neither
2 motion is necessary and shall be denied as moot.

3 Pursuant to Rule 7(e)(3) of the local rules, motions to dismiss *and* briefs in opposition
4 shall not exceed 24 pages. (Reply briefs are limited to 12 pages). Plaintiff's proposed response
5 is 20 pages.

6 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

7 (1) Plaintiff's motions (104 and 107) are **DENIED as moot**

8 (2) The Clerk is directed to docket Plaintiff's proposed response (ECF No.
9 104-1) as his response to Defendant Dyer's motion to dismiss and to send a copy of this
10 Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.

11 **DATED** this 13th day of September, 2013.

12
13 

14 Karen L. Strombom
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24