1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
5		
6		
7	ANTOINNE LITTLEJOHN,	
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C13-5077 BHS
9		ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
10	v. AMY KERNKAMP, et al.,	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND DISMISSING
11	Defendants.	COMPLAINT
12	Defendants.	
13	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Antoinne Littlejohn's	
14	("Littlejohn") motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> (Dkt. 1) and proposed complaint	
15	(Dkt. 1–1).	
16	On February 1, 2013, Littlejohn filed his motion and complaint alleging that	
17		
	certain state actors released "non-conviction information" in violation of his right under	
18	the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution. Dkt. 1.	
19	The district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> upon	
20	completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). However, the	
21	"privilege of pleading in forma pauperis in civil actions for damages should be	
22	allowed only in exceptional circumstances." Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328 (9th	

Cir. 1986). Moreover, the court has broad discretion in denying an application to proceed in forma pauperis. Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598 (9th Cir. 1963), cert. denied 375 3 U.S. 845 (1963). 4 A federal court may dismiss *sua sponte* pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) when 5 it is clear that the plaintiff has not stated a claim upon which relief may be granted. See 6 Omar v. Sea Land Serv., Inc., 813 F.2d 986, 991 (9th Cir. 1987) ("A trial court may dismiss a claim sua sponte under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) . . . . Such a dismissal may be 8 made without notice where the claimant cannot possibly win relief."). 9 In this case, Littlejohn has failed to show that he is entitled to proceed in forma 10 pauperis or has failed to state an adequate claim for relief. With regard to his claim, 11 there is no constitutional right to non-disclosure of police incident reports or 12 investigations or a right to damages for the lawful retention of public records. Thus, 13 Littlejohn cannot possibly win relief and has failed to show that exceptional 14 circumstances exist to allow *in forma pauperis* status. Therefore, the Court denies 15 Littlejohn's motion to proceed in forma pauperis and dismisses his complaint sua sponte for failure to state a claim. 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 Dated this 12th day of February, 2013. 19 20 21 United States District Judge 22