1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9   10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	AT TAC	
12	CULLEN M. HANKERSON,	
13	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C13-5182 BHS-JRC
14	v.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECUSE THE
15	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS et al.	WASHINGTON STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
16	Defendant.	
17	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S	.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States
18	Magistrate Judge, J. Richard Creatura. The Court's	s authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. §
19	636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and Magistrate Judge Rules	MJR3 and MJR4.
20	Plaintiff asks the Court to recuse all emplo	yees of the Washington State Attorney
21	General's Office from this case (ECF No. 15). Defendants removed this action from state court	
22	(ECF No. 1). Plaintiff named the former Washingt	con State Attorney General, Rob McKenna, as
23   24		
4 <del>4</del>	d .	

1	a defendant. See, (ECF No. 1, attached complaint). Plaintiff also names "Atty. General of
2	Washington et. al." as defendants. <i>Id</i> .
3	Plaintiff alleges that when he was transferred from county jail to the Washington State
4	Department of Corrections he was not allowed to bring his legal materials with him. Plaintiff
5	alleges that the denial of his legal material deprived him of his right to file a direct appeal or
6	personal restraint petition in his criminal cases and has also caused him to lose a civil case
7	involving loss of property. Plaintiff attributes a denial of access to courts to "a policy placed [sic
8	and/or due to a failure to train staff on policy by Bernie Warner (Sec. of D.O.C.), Rob McKenna
9	(Atty. Gen of Wa)." (ECF No. 1, attached complaint). This is the only claim against anyone fron
10	the Attorney General's Office in the complaint (ECF No. 1, attached complaint).
11	Plaintiff asks that the Attorney General's office be recused from the action based on a
12	"potential conflict of interest" (ECF No. 15). The Court finds that three Washington State Rules
13	of Professional Conduct apply. Those rules are 1.7, 1.9, and 1.11. The Court notes that
14	Washington State Rules of Professional Conduct 1.10 does not apply by its own terms. See
15	Washington State Rules of Professional Conduct 1.10(d)(stating 1.11 applies to government
16	lawyers).
17	Washington State Rules of Professional Conduct 1.11(d) provides that Washington State
18	Rules of Professional Conduct 1.7 and 1.9 apply to a lawyer serving as a public officer or
19	employee. The Court has examined 1.7 and 1.9. Nothing in Washington State Rules of
20	Professional Conduct 1.7 or 1.9 prohibits an Assistant Attorney General from representing the
21	defendants in this action.
22	Washington State Rules of Professional Conduct 1.11(d)(2)(i) and (ii) apply to
23	government lawyers and would control in this case. Section 2(i) would only apply if the attorney
24	

1	had personally participated in a matter while in private practice and subsequently became
2	involved in representing the government. Section 2(ii) only addresses a public lawyer
3	participating in an action while negotiating for subsequent employment. Neither section prevents
4	the Washington State Attorney General's Office from participating in this action.
5	Plaintiff fails to show that there is any proper ground for his motion. The Attorney
6	General and his or her assistants have the legal duty to provide legal services to state agencies
7	and their officers and employees working in their official capacity. RCW 43.10.030(3); RCW
8	43.10.060. The Court DENIES plaintiff's motion.
9	Dated this 29 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2013.
10	
11	Though waters
12	J. Richard Creatura
13	United States Magistrate Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
,,	