| 1  |   |                                       |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|
| 2  |   |                                       |
| 3  |   |                                       |
| 4  |   |                                       |
| 5  | UNITED STATES D   | ISTRICT COURT                         |
| 6  | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA  |                                       |
| 7  |   |                                       |
| 8  | JENNIFER AAL,   |                                       |
| 9  | Plaintiff,  | CASE NO. C13-5195 BHS                 |
| 10 | v.  | ORDER GRANTING<br>DEFENDANTS' PARTIAL |
| 11 | CAPELLA HEALTHCARE, INC., et al.,   | MOTION TO DISMISS                     |
| 12 | Defendants.   |                                       |
| 13 |   |                                       |
| 14 | This matter comes before the Court on Defendants Capella Healthcare, Inc., and          |                                       |
| 15 | Columbia Capital Medical Center's ("Defendants") partial motion to dismiss (Dkt. 8).    |                                       |
| 16 | The Court has considered the pleadings filed in support of the motion and the remainder |                                       |
| 17 | of the file and hereby grants the motion for the  | reasons stated herein.                |
| 18 | I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY   |                                       |
| 19 | On February 18, 2013, Plaintiff Jennifer Aal ("Aal") filed a complaint against          |                                       |
| 20 | Defendants in Thurston County Superior Court for the State of Washington asserting      |                                       |
| 21 | numerous causes of action, including retaliation for engaging in union activity in      |                                       |
|    |   |                                       |

| 1  | On March 18, 2013, Defendants removed the matter to this Court. Dkt. 1.                              |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | On March 25, 2013, Defendants filed a partial motion to dismiss. Dkt. 8. Aal did                     |  |
| 3  | not respond. On April 19, 2013, Defendants replied. Dkt. 10.   |  |
| 4  | II. DISCUSSION   |  |
| 5  | As a threshold matter, the Court may consider the failure to respond to a motion as                  |  |
| 6  | an admission that the motion has merit. Local Rule CR 7(b)(2). Aal failed to respond,                |  |
| 7  | and the Court will consider that failure as an admission that Defendants' motion has                 |  |
| 8  | merit.   |  |
| 9  | With regard to the merits, Defendants move to dismiss Aal's claim for retaliation                    |  |
| 10 | for engaging in union activity in violation of a Washington statute. Dkt. 8 at 1–2.                  |  |
| 11 | Defendants argue that the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 151–169, preempts               |  |
| 12 | state law in this area and that the Court is without jurisdiction to hear Aal's claim. <i>Id.</i> at |  |
| 13 | 3–6. The Court agrees and grants Defendants' motion.   |  |
| 14 | III. ORDER   |  |
| 15 | Therefore, it is hereby <b>ORDERED</b> that Defendants' partial motion to dismiss                    |  |
| 16 | (Dkt. 8) is <b>GRANTED</b> for lack of jurisdiction.   |  |
| 17 | Dated this 1 <sup>st</sup> day of May, 2013.   |  |
| 18 | l $l$  |  |
| 19 | Dept \ Sattle  |  |
| 20 | BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |