Shackelford v. Mason County Jail

Doc. 19

Defendant contends that Mr. Shackelford has put his inmate records at issue in this matter by claiming that he submitted written requests, referred to within the jail as "kites," for access to a text-enabled phone but was refused such access. Defendant argues that it is entitled to introduce the kites into evidence to support the testimony of the Chief Deputy in charge of the jail, Tom Haugen, that he has reviewed all of plaintiff's kites and other written notes and communications between plaintiff and Jail staff and has found no such request for a text-enabled phone. The Court agrees with this contention and the request is GRANTED.

In addition, Defendant further seeks authorization to file any other Mason County Jail records generated during the period of plaintiff's incarceration in question, as may be relevant to its contentions and defenses. Without any specific identification as to what these other records may be, and in light of the fact that they could include medical records, this request is DENIED.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

- (1) Defendant's motion for order authorizing it to file copies of kites from the Plaintiff (ECF No. 18) is **GRANTED.**
- (2) Defendant's blanket request for authorization to file any other inmate records it deems relevant is **DENIED**.
 - (3) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant.

DATED this 19th day of August, 2013.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge