Evans v. Colvin Doc. 30

01	1		
02	2		
03	3		
04	4		
05	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
06			
07		SE NO. C13-5436-RAJ	
08	<i>′</i>	3L 110. C13-3430-1013	
09		DER OF REMAND FOR YMENT OF BENEFITS	
10	<i>'</i>	THE TOT BETTER	
11	•		
12	<i>'</i>		
13	The Court has reviewed the entire record, including the Administrative Record, the		
14	memoranda of the parties, and the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate		
15	Judge Mary Alice Theiler. It is therefore ORDERED:		
16	(1) The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation <sup>1</sup> ;		
17	<del></del>		
18	<sup>1</sup> The court provides one clarification. In an unpublished decision, the Ninth Circuit opined that evidence from the development period is not required in order to establish that the impairment began		
19	evidence allows it to infer when the impairment began. <i>Id.</i> Nevertheless, the court agrees that the relevant timeframe for the subaverage intellectual functioning with deficits in adaptive functioning is before the age of 22, and the first prong of Listing 12.05C does not require consideration of a claimant's		
20			
21			
22	functioning initially manifested before age 22; (2) an IQ score of 60 to 70; and (3) a physical or other mental impairment causing an additional and significant work-related limitation.").		
	ORDER OF REMAND FOR PAYMENT OF BENEFITS PAGE -1		

01	(2) The Court REMANDS this matter for payment of benefits; and	
02	(3) The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to all counsel and to Judge Theiler.	
03	DATED this 18th day of June, 2014.	
04	Richa SA barr	
05	Richard A Jane	
06	The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge	
07		
08		
09		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

ORDER OF REMAND FOR PAYMENT OF BENEFITS

PAGE -2