1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	STEVEN W TAFOYA,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C13-5708 BHS-JRC
12	V.	ORDER TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT
13	SUSAN I BAUR et al.,	
14	Defendant.	
15	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States	
16	The District Court has referred this 42 0.5	.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States
	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's	
17		s authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. §
17 18	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge	s authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. §
	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge	s authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § Rules MJR3 and MJR4. Auperis status and the Court has reviewed the
18	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge The Court has granted plaintiff in forma pa	s authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § Rules MJR3 and MJR4. auperis status and the Court has reviewed the under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, at least three
18 19	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge The Court has granted plaintiff in forma pa proposed complaint (ECF No. 1). To state a claim	s authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § Rules MJR3 and MJR4. auperis status and the Court has reviewed the under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, at least three rson acting under the color of state law; (2) the
18 19 20	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge The Court has granted plaintiff in forma pa proposed complaint (ECF No. 1). To state a claim elements must be met: (1) defendant must be a per	s authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § Rules MJR3 and MJR4. auperis status and the Court has reviewed the under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, at least three rson acting under the color of state law; (2) the ights, privileges or immunities secured by the
18 19 20 21	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge The Court has granted plaintiff in forma pa proposed complaint (ECF No. 1). To state a claim elements must be met: (1) defendant must be a per person's conduct must have deprived plaintiff of r	s authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § Rules MJR3 and MJR4. auperis status and the Court has reviewed the under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, at least three rson acting under the color of state law; (2) the ights, privileges or immunities secured by the 2. <i>Taylor</i> , 451 U.S. 527, 535, (1981) (overruled

1

See Mt. Healthy City School Dist. Bd. of Educ. v. Doyle, 429 U.S. 274, 286-87, (1977); Flores v.
 Pierce, 617 F.2d 1386, 1390-91 (9th Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 449 U.S. 875, (1980). When a
 plaintiff fails to allege or establish one of the three elements, his complaint must be dismissed.

That plaintiff may have suffered harm, even if due to another's negligent conduct does
not in itself necessarily demonstrate an abridgment of constitutional protections. *Davidson v. Cannon*, 474 U.S. 344, 106 S. Ct. 668 (1986). Vague and conclusory allegations of official
participation in civil rights violations are not sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss. *Pena v. Gardner*, 976 F.2d 469, 471 (9th Cir. 1992).

9 Plaintiff names Sheila Bamakanti, a public defender, as defendant. A defense attorney,
10 even if they are assigned counsel, does not act under color of state law. *See Polk County v.*11 *Dodson*, 454 U.S. 312, 317-18 (1981). Ms. Bamakanti is not a proper defendant in this action.

12 Plaintiff names a former governor of the State of Washington, Christine Gregoire, as a defendant (ECF No. 1, proposed complaint). Plaintiff's proposed complaint is devoid of any 13 14 facts showing what actions the former governor took or why she is a named defendant. In order 15 to state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a complaint must allege facts showing how individually 16 named defendants caused or personally participated in causing the harm alleged in the complaint. 17 Arnold v. IBM, 637 F.2d 1350, 1355 (9th Cir. 1981). A defendant cannot be held liable under § 18 1983 solely on the basis of supervisory responsibility or position. Monell v. New York City Dept. 19 of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 694 n.58 (1978). A theory of respondeat superior is not 20sufficient to state a § 1983 claim. Padway v. Palches, 665 F.2d 965, 968 (9th Cir. 1982).

In light of these defects the Court declines to order service of the proposed complaint.
The Court orders that plaintiff file an amended complaint. The amended complaint will act as a
complete substitute for the original and not as a supplement. The Court orders that plaintiff use

the Court's form for filing a civil rights action. The clerk's office is directed to send plaintiff a
 blank form.

The amended complaint will be due on or before October 4, 2013. Failure to file an
amended complaint or to cure the defects in the original will result in a report and
recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with
a Court order.

Dated this 3rd day of September, 2013.

walu "lais

J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge